

HIGHER WEIGHT ON $GL(3)$, II: THE CUSP FORMS.

JACK BUTTCANE

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to collect and make explicit the results of Gel'fand, Graev and Piatetski-Shapiro [10] and Miyazaki [23, 24] for the $GL(3)$ cusp forms which are non-trivial on $SO(3, \mathbb{R})$. We give new descriptions of the spaces of cusp forms of minimal K -type and from the Fourier-Whittaker expansions of such forms give a complete and completely explicit spectral expansion for $L^2(SL(3, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash PSL(3, \mathbb{R}))$, accounting for multiplicities, in the style of Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec's paper [9]. We directly compute the Jacquet integral for the Whittaker functions at the minimal K -type, improving Miyazaki's computation. The primary tool will be the study of the differential operators coming from the Lie algebra on vector-valued cusp forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the previous paper, we worked out the continuous and residual spectra in the Langlands decomposition in the case of $L^2(SL(3, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash PSL(3, \mathbb{R}))$. We turn now specifically to the cuspidal spectral decomposition. The initial decomposition into eigenspaces of the Casimir operators is originally due, in much greater generality, to Gel'fand, Graev and Piatetski-Shapiro [10] (based on earlier work of Gel'fand and Graev), who described the decomposition in terms of integral operators (in the style of Selberg [26]) operating on representation spaces in the L^2 -space. In modern terminology, this is the study of irreducible unitary representations and $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ modules, and in the particular case of $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$, the former was initiated by Vahutinskiĭ [28] and the latter by Howe [14] and Miyazaki [23].

From the representation-theoretic description, this paper will analyze the structure of the “large”, i.e. cuspidal, part of the principal series representations as they decompose over the entries of the Wigner $\mathcal{D}$ -matrices, the intertwining operators, and the Jacquet integral as an operator from the principal series representation to its Whittaker model. From the analytic perspective, we are decomposing the eigenspaces of the Casimir operators on the L^2 -space via raising and lowering operators obtained from the Lie algebra (in the style of Maass [20]); we show the equivalence of several descriptions of the minimal K -types, and compute Mellin-Barnes integrals for the Whittaker functions attached to those K -types. We will largely avoid the representation-theoretic description outside of these first two sections; partial descriptions in that language maybe found in [22, Appendix A] and [7].

The goal of this paper is to describe, as completely, explicitly and uniformly as possible, the spectral expansion of the cuspidal part of $L^2(SL(3, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash PSL(3, \mathbb{R}))$, and provide all of

Date: 10 January 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F72; Secondary 11F30.

During the time of this research, the author was supported by NSF grant DMS-1601919.

the associated information necessary for a number theorist to apply analysis on this space. We classify the spectral parameters of the cusp forms at the minimal K -types in Theorem 4, and then, taking as input the spectral parameters and Fourier-Whittaker coefficients of such minimal cusp forms, generate the rest of the spectral expansion. The main theorem is Theorem 5.

We give expressions for the Whittaker functions at the minimal K -types in Theorem 6; these are due, in greatly different language, to Miyazaki [24] who obtained them by studying their differential equations and then applying a certain induction argument, but we take this a step farther by evaluating the base case directly from the Jacquet integral, thus fixing the relationship between elements of the representation and the Whittaker model, as well as the cusp forms, via the Fourier expansion. This is significant for the theory of special functions on $GL(3)$ because the Mellin-Barnes integral is simpler analytically, but the Jacquet integral has a certain algebraic structure that we rely on in constructions such as [5]. Conversely, we must then rely on Miyazaki's solution of the differential equations (or representation theory) for the uniqueness property.

A primary tenet of this paper, in combination with the previous part [6], is to be self-contained. We generally succeed here except for three pieces which are collected into Theorem 1: First, we do not prove the initial spectral decomposition; this is a standard proof using the techniques of Selberg studying integral operators first carried out by [10] and can be found in the first few pages of Harish-Chandra's book [13], or Langland's paper [19], or in a number of other books, e.g. [25], see also [15, Appendix A]. Second, we quote the trivial bound for the principal series representations; this is a bound on Langlands parameters for the conjecturally non-existent complementary series and can be found in [28]. Lastly, we do not prove multiplicity one for Whittaker functions; from the analytic perspective, we are avoiding the solution to a lengthy problem in partial differential equations, but it follows in the representation-theoretic language from Shalika's paper [27].

The proofs below will generally assume that the quotient is by $\Gamma = SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$, but of course only the Fourier expansion will see the particular discrete group in use.

2. THE MAIN THEOREMS

We continue in the notation of part I [6].

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the space of smooth scalar-valued cusp forms. Let $\mathcal{A}^d$, $d \geq 0$ be the space of smooth, vector-valued cusp forms with K -type $\mathcal{D}^d$; that is $f \in \mathcal{A}^d$ satisfies $f(gk) = f(g)\mathcal{D}^d(k)$. (So in particular, f is a $(2d+1)$ -dimensional row vector.) In Section 4.3, we describe the decomposition of $\mathcal{A}$ into the spaces $\mathcal{A}^d$ by the projection operators on K . As discussed in the introduction, we will use three external results about these cusp forms.

Theorem 1.

- (1) *The space of scalar-valued cusp forms decomposes into a direct sum of simultaneous eigenspaces $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ of the Casimir differential operators Δ_1 and Δ_2 (see Section 4.2). We parameterize the eigenspaces by the eigenvalues of the power function*

$$\Delta_i p_{\rho+\mu} = \lambda_i(\mu) p_{\rho+\mu}, \quad \lambda_1(\mu) = 1 - \frac{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2}{2}, \quad \lambda_2(\mu) = \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3.$$

Again, the eigenspaces $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ further decompose into $\mathcal{A}_\mu^d$. The space $\mathcal{A}_\mu^d$ is finite-dimensional.

- (2) If $\mathcal{A}_\mu^d \neq \{0\}$ with $d \in \{0, 1\}$ and μ of the form $(x + it, -x + it, -2it)$, then $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$.
 (3) The n -th Fourier coefficient of $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ lies in the span of the Jacquet integrals. That is,

$$\int_{U(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash U(\mathbb{R})} \phi(ug) \overline{\psi_n(u)} du = \int_{U(\mathbb{R})} I_{\mu, f}(w_l ug) \overline{\psi_n(u)} du,$$

with $I_{\mu, f}(xyk) = p_{\rho+\mu}(y)f(k)$ for some smooth $f(k)$. The integral on the right converges absolutely on $\text{Re}(\mu_1) > \text{Re}(\mu_2) > \text{Re}(\mu_3)$, and must be interpreted in the Riemann sense when some $\text{Re}(\mu_i) = \text{Re}(\mu_j)$.

Proof. Part (1) is Theorem 3 and Lemma 18 in [13].

Part (2) is the unitary dual estimate noted in [22, Appendix A.1]; of course, stronger estimates are known for $d = 0$, e.g [17, Appendix 2], and the $d = 1$ case should also follow by Rankin-Selberg theory (as [16] does for the unramified case), but to the author's knowledge, no one has yet performed this computation.

For part (3), we note that ϕ generates a representation of G via right translation; it has the Fréchet topology generated from the Lie algebra. This representation is equivalent to a subrepresentation [18, Theorem 8.37] of a principal series representation

$$\{f \mid f(xylvk) = p_{\rho+\mu}(y)\chi(v)f(k)\}$$

for some μ and χ . The operator on the first representation which takes a cusp form to its n -th Fourier coefficient has image in the Whittaker model, as does the Jacquet integral on the second representation, and they respect the Fréchet topology. Shalika's local multiplicity one theorem [27, Theorem 3.1] states these operators (from the first representation to the Whittaker model) are identical. $\square$

In Section 5, we will show the Lie algebra gives rise to five differential-vector operators $Y^a : \mathcal{A}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{d+a}$, $a = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2$ which are left-translation invariant. We describe the cusp forms by their behavior under the action of these operators. In Sections 8.3 and 9.1, we will show

Theorem 2. *Define the differential operator*

$$(1) \quad \Lambda_x = 27\Delta_2^2 + 4(\Delta_1 + x^2 - 1)(\Delta_1 + 4x^2 - 1)^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then for $f \in \mathcal{A}^d$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is orthogonal to $Y^1 \mathcal{A}^{d-1}$ and $Y^2 \mathcal{A}^{d-2}$.
 (2) f is a zero of Y^{-1} , Y^{-2} and an eigenfunction of Y^0 .
 (3) $d = 0$, $d = 1$ or f is a zero of the operator $\Lambda_{\frac{d-1}{2}}$.

We say that such an f is at its minimal K -type and we define $\mathcal{A}^{d*}$ to be the space of such forms. Further define $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{d*}$ be the subspace of simultaneous eigenfunctions of Δ_1 and Δ_2 with eigenvalues matching $p_{\rho+\mu}$.

The value of a description as in part (3) is that it involves only the particular K -type we are interested in, without reference to $\mathcal{A}^{d-1}$ or $\mathcal{A}^{d-2}$. This argument of Section 9.1 also gives an equivalent statement of the Strong Selberg Eigenvalue Conjecture:

Conjecture 3. *The null space of Λ_x in the cusp forms is trivial for $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.*

In fact, we expect Λ_x to be a postive operator on the cusp forms.

For vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{2d+1}$, we again index from the central entry. Let $\mathbf{v}_j^d$ be the $(2d+1)$ -dimensional row vector with entries

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{v}_{j,m'}^d = \delta_{m'=j},$$

and set

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{u}_j^{d,\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}_{j,m'}^d \pm (-1)^d \mathbf{v}_{-j,m'}^d).$$

In Section 8.3, we prove

Theorem 4. *Suppose $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\mu^{d*}$. Then its n -th Fourier coefficient is a multiple of $fW^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_n)$ where $f \in \mathbb{C}^{2d+1}$ and μ can be taken as one of the following:*

- (1) *For $d = 0$, we use $f = 1$ and $\text{Re}(\mu) = 0$ or $\mu = (x + it, -x + it, -2it)$, $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$.*
- (2) *For $d = 1$, we use $f = \mathbf{u}_0^{1,-}$ and $\text{Re}(\mu) = 0$ or $\mu = (x + it, -x + it, -2it)$, $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$.*
- (3) *For $d \geq 2$, we use $f = \mathbf{u}_d^{d,+}$ and $\mu = (\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it)$.*

We note that the symmetric square of a holomorphic modular form of even weight k will occur at minimal weight $d = 2k - 1$ in the form (3) with $t = 0$, c.f. [7, Section 6.4].

A by-product of the analysis of Section 8.3 is the explicit determination of all vectors $f \in \mathbb{C}^{2d+1}$ such that $fW^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_n)$ is identically zero, when μ is in the standard form (48). This is the main obstruction in passing from the analysis of differential operators on the power functions (that is, elements of the principal series representation) to analysis on the Whittaker functions.

The vectors $\mathbf{u}_{2j+\delta}^{d,\varepsilon}$, with $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, are the rows of the matrices Σ_χ^d of Section I.2.2.2¹ where $(-1)^\delta = \chi(v_{-+})$ and $\varepsilon = \chi(v_{+-})$ or conversely $\chi = \chi_{(-1)^\delta, \varepsilon}$. If $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}_\mu^{d*}$ has Fourier coefficients $\rho_\Phi(n) \mathbf{u}_{2j+\delta}^{\kappa, \varepsilon} W^\kappa(\cdot, \mu, \psi_n)$ with the parameters μ and $\mathbf{u}_{2j+\delta}^{\kappa, \varepsilon} = f$ given by Theorem 4, then for all d , we may construct two matrix-valued forms

$$(4) \quad \Phi^d(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in (U(\mathbb{Z})V) \backslash SL(2, \mathbb{Z})} \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^2} \rho_\Phi(n) \Sigma_\chi^d W^d(\gamma v g, \mu, \psi_n),$$

and $\tilde{\Phi}^d(g)$ defined similarly but using the spectral parameters $-\bar{\mu}$ instead. Note that this is not the dual form. We will insist on a slightly unusual normalization (70) of Φ .

In Section 7, we look at the spaces of vector-valued forms generated by applying the Y^a operators to the minimal weight cusp forms. More precisely, we operate at the level of the coefficient vectors. That is, if v lies in an appropriate subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2d+1}$ (the rowspace of Σ_χ^d), then the entries of $p_{\rho+\mu}(y) v \mathcal{D}^d(k)$ lie in the principal series representation and the entries of $v W^d(g, \mu, \psi_m)$ lie in the Whittaker model, and we will show the entries of $v \Phi^d(g)$ (or more precisely $v \tilde{\Phi}^d(g)$) are cusp forms. Since the Y^a operators function identically on all three objects by left-translation invariance, we are free to study their behavior on objects of the first type.

¹Section and equation numbers beginning with an I reference [6].

Now let $\mathcal{S}_3^\kappa$ be a basis of $\mathcal{A}^{\kappa*}$ for each κ , and take $\mathcal{S}_3$ to be the union of all the $\mathcal{S}_3^\kappa$ (in an appropriately weird space of definition). As described above, for each $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_3$ and every d , we may construct the two matrix-valued forms Φ^d and $\tilde{\Phi}^d$. It is the case that the function $\tilde{\Phi}^d$ will be identically zero when d is less than the minimal K -type of Φ , and in Section 9, we pull everything together into the following very uniform expansion of cusp forms:

Theorem 5. *For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$f(g) = \sum_{\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_3} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} (2d+1) \operatorname{Tr} \left(\Phi^d(g) \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(g') \overline{\tilde{\Phi}^d(g')}^T dg' \right).$$

The equivalent statement for a vector-valued form $f \in \mathcal{A}^d$ is

$$(5) \quad f(g) = \sum_{\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_3} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(g') \overline{\tilde{\Phi}^d(g')}^T dg' \Phi^d(g).$$

This completes the spectral expansion.

Each vector-valued cusp form of K -type $\mathcal{D}^d$ corresponds to $2d+1$ scalar-valued forms, and the multiplicities of a given $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_3^{\kappa*}$ in the vector-valued forms are essentially the rank of the matrices Σ_χ^d , with the middle $2\kappa-1$ rows removed if $\kappa \geq 2$. By the types listed in Theorem 4, these multiplicities are

- (1) $\begin{cases} \frac{d}{2} + 1 & d \text{ even,} \\ \frac{d-1}{2} & d \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$
- (2) $\left\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \right\rfloor$
- (3) $\left\lfloor \frac{d-\kappa}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \quad d \geq \kappa.$

Finally, since many theorems on automorphic forms concern forms at the minimal K -types, in Section 6, we compute the Mellin-Barnes integrals of those Whittaker functions. These have been computed by Miyazaki [24] for $d \geq 2$, Manabe, Ishii and Oda [21] for $d = 1$ and Bump [4] for $d = 0$. In case $d \geq 1$, the bases used are somewhat different than ours, and for $d \geq 2$, the results here are somewhat stronger than Miyazaki's because we have solidified the connection between the Jacquet-Whittaker function and the Mellin-Barnes integral. (Theorem 5.9 of [24] contains the phrase "there is an element [of the Whittaker model]"; we have very precisely answered the question of which vector in the principal series representation gives rise to that element.)

For $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^3$, $\beta, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}^2$ define

$$\Lambda_\alpha^d(\mu) = \pi^{-\frac{3}{2} + \mu_3 - \mu_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\alpha_1+\mu_1-\mu_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\alpha_2+\mu_1-\mu_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\alpha_3+\mu_2-\mu_3}{2}\right),$$

$$G(d, \beta, \eta, s, \mu) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta_i+s_1-\mu_i}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\eta_i+s_2+\mu_i}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s_1+s_2+\sum_i(\beta_i+\eta_i)-2d}{2}\right)},$$

$$G^0(\ell, s, \mu) = G(0, 0, 0, s, \mu), \quad G^1(\ell, s, \mu) = G(1, (\ell_1, \ell_1, 1-\ell_1), (\ell_2, \ell_2, 1-\ell_2), s, \mu),$$

and for $d \geq 2$,

$$(6) \quad G^d(\ell, s, \mu) = G(d, (d, 0, \ell_1), (0, d, \ell_2), s, \mu).$$

Now for $|m'| \leq d$, write $m' = \varepsilon m$ with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq d$, and set

$$G_{m'}^d(s, \mu) = \sqrt{\binom{2d}{d+m}} \sum_{\ell=0}^m \varepsilon^\ell \binom{m}{\ell} G^d((d-m, \ell), s, \mu),$$

and take $G^d(s, \mu)$ to be the vector with coordinates $G_{m'}^d(s, \mu)$.

Theorem 6. *The Whittaker functions at the minimal K -types are*

$$W^0(y, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} (\pi y_1)^{1-s_1} (\pi y_2)^{1-s_2} \frac{G^0(s, \mu)}{\Lambda_{(0,0,0)}^0(\mu)} \frac{ds}{(2\pi i)^2},$$

$$\mathbf{u}_0^{1,-} W^1(y, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} (\pi y_1)^{1-s_1} (\pi y_2)^{1-s_2} \frac{G^1(s, \mu)}{\Lambda_{(0,1,1)}^1(\mu)} \frac{ds}{(2\pi i)^2},$$

$$-\sqrt{2} \mathbf{u}_1^{1,-} W^1(y, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} (\pi y_1)^{1-s_1} (\pi y_2)^{1-s_2} \frac{G^1(s, \mu^{w_3})}{\Lambda_{(1,0,1)}^1(\mu^{w_3})} \frac{ds}{(2\pi i)^2},$$

$$-\sqrt{2} \mathbf{u}_1^{1,+} W^1(y, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} (\pi y_1)^{1-s_1} (\pi y_2)^{1-s_2} \frac{G^1(s, \mu^{w_l})}{\Lambda_{(1,1,0)}^1(\mu^{w_l})} \frac{ds}{(2\pi i)^2},$$

and for $\mu = (\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it)$ with $d \geq 2$,

$$W_{-d}^d(y, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} (\pi y_1)^{1-s_1} (\pi y_2)^{1-s_2} \frac{G^d(s, \mu)}{\Lambda_{(0,0,1)}^d(\mu)} \frac{ds}{(2\pi i)^2}, \quad W_{d,m}^d = 0,$$

for any $\mathfrak{s} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^2$.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Stephen D. Miller for his insight into the non-spherical Maass forms; in particular, Prof. Miller and the author independently and near-simultaneously arrived at forms of (9)-(11). The author would also like to thank James Cogdell and Joseph Hundley for their comments and helpful discussions along the way.

4. BACKGROUND

4.1. The Lie algebras. The complexified Lie algebra of $G = PSL(3, \mathbb{R})$ is $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$, the space of complex matrices of trace zero, and the complexified Lie algebra of $K = SO(3, \mathbb{R})$ is $\mathfrak{k}$, the space of anti-symmetric matrices. These matrices act as differential operators on smooth functions of G by

$$(7) \quad (Xf)(g) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(g \exp tX) \right|_{t=0}, \quad (X + iY)f = Xf + iYf,$$

when the entries of X and Y are real. We will not differentiate notationally between a matrix in the Lie algebra and the associated differential operator. Note that the commutator of the

differential operators associated to two such matrices is then given by the differential operator associated to the commutator of the matrices; that is,

$$[X, Y] := X \circ Y - Y \circ X = XY - YX.$$

We will also need some knowledge of the adjoint operator: If $f, h \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} (Xf)(g) \overline{h(g)} dg = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(g) \overline{(-Xh)(g)} dg,$$

directly from the definitions (7) and the Haar measure dg .

We take as our basis of $\mathfrak{k}$ the three matrices

$$K_{\pm 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mp 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ \pm 1 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In terms of the Z-Y-Z coordinates of Section I.2.2, the associated differential operators acting on a function of $k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, are

$$K_{\pm 1} = e^{\mp i\gamma} (i \cot \beta \partial_\gamma \mp \partial_\beta - i \csc \beta \partial_\alpha), \quad K_0 = -\partial_\gamma.$$

On an entry of the Wigner- $\mathcal{D}$ matrix, the $K_{\pm 1}$ operators increase or decrease the column [3, Section 3.8],

$$K_{\pm 1} \mathcal{D}_{m', m}^d = \sqrt{d(d+1) - m(m \pm 1)} \mathcal{D}_{m', m \pm 1}^d,$$

and K_0 does not,

$$K_0 \mathcal{D}_{m', m}^d = im \mathcal{D}_{m', m}^d.$$

The Laplacian on K is given by

$$\Delta_K = K_1 K_{-1} - K_0 K_0 + i K_0,$$

and the Wigner- $\mathcal{D}$ matrix satisfies $\Delta_K \mathcal{D}^d = d(d+1) \mathcal{D}^d$.

We extend to a basis for $\mathfrak{g}$ by adding the five matrices

$$X_{\pm 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm i & 0 \\ \pm i & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{\pm 1} = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \pm 1 \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ \pm 1 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_0 = -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Though we will not directly use it, these matrices are orthogonal to $\mathfrak{k}$ and have identical norm under the Killing form.

If we define the differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\pm 2} &= (2y_2 \partial_{y_2} - y_1 \partial_{y_1}) \pm 2iy_2 \partial_{x_2}, \\ Z_{\pm 1} &= -2i(y_1 x_2 \partial_{x_3} + y_1 \partial_{x_1}) \mp 2y_1 y_2 \partial_{x_3}, \\ Z_0 &= -\sqrt{6} y_1 \partial_{y_1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}_{\pm 2} = Z_{\pm 2} \pm i \partial_\alpha, \quad \tilde{Z}_{\pm 1} = Z_{\pm 1}, \quad \tilde{Z}_0 = Z_0,$$

then as differential operators in Iwasawa UYK , i.e. $g = xyk$, coordinates, our basis has the following form

$$(9) \quad X_{\pm 2} = \sum_{j=-2}^2 \mathcal{D}_{j,\pm 2}^2(k) \tilde{Z}_j - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \mathcal{D}_{0,\pm 1}^2(k) K_{\pm 1} \pm \frac{2i\sqrt{6}}{3} \mathcal{D}_{0,\pm 2}^2(k) K_0,$$

$$(10) \quad X_{\pm 1} = \sum_{j=-2}^2 \mathcal{D}_{j,\pm 1}^2(k) \tilde{Z}_j - \frac{1}{3} (4\mathcal{D}_{0,0}^2(k) - 1) K_{\pm 1} \pm \frac{2i\sqrt{6}}{3} \mathcal{D}_{0,\pm 1}^2(k) K_0 \pm i\sqrt{2} \mathcal{D}_{0,\pm 1}^1(k) \partial_\alpha,$$

$$(11) \quad X_0 = \sum_{j=-2}^2 \mathcal{D}_{j,0}^2(k) \tilde{Z}_j - (\mathcal{D}_{0,1}^2(k) K_{-1} + \mathcal{D}_{0,-1}^2(k) K_1).$$

These can be computed by writing

$$xyk \exp tX = (xyx^*y^{-1})(yy^*)k^*,$$

where $k \exp tX = x^*y^*k^*$ is computed from the Iwasawa decomposition of Section I.2.4. A somewhat less computationally-intensive approach is to note that $k \exp tX = \exp(tkXk^{-1})k$ and decompose kXk^{-1} over the more Iwasawa-friendly basis

$$(12) \quad E_{1,2}, E_{1,3}, E_{2,3}, E_{11} - E_{22}, E_{11} - E_{33}, E_{22} - E_{33}, K_0, K_{\pm 1},$$

where $(E_{ij})_{k,l} = \delta_{i=k,j=l}$ indexing from the upper left. We have expressed, as we may, all of the trigonometric polynomials in α, β, γ in terms of the Wigner $\mathcal{D}$ -matrices in preparation for application of the Clebsch-Gordan multiplication rules, see Section 4.5.

4.2. The Casimir Operators. The (normalized) Casimir operators are defined by

$$\Delta_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} E_{i,j} \circ E_{j,i}, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j,k} E_{i,j} \circ E_{j,k} \circ E_{k,i} + \Delta_1.$$

In the Lie algebra, these are sometimes called the Capelli elements, and their differential operators may be computed through the Iwasawa-friendly basis (12) or through the expressions already given for the X_j and K_j operators by expressing the E_{ij} as linear combinations of either basis (and the identity matrix).

On functions of $PSL(3, \mathbb{R})/SO(3, \mathbb{R})$ these become [11, eqs (6.1.1)]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1^\circ &= -y_1^2 \partial_{y_1}^2 - y_2^2 \partial_{y_2}^2 + y_1 y_2 \partial_{y_1} \partial_{y_2} - y_1^2 (x_2^2 + y_2^2) \partial_{x_3}^2 - y_1^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 - y_2^2 \partial_{x_2}^2 - 2y_1^2 x_2 \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_3}, \\ \Delta_2^\circ &= -y_1^2 y_2 \partial_{y_1}^2 \partial_{y_2} + y_1 y_2^2 \partial_{y_1} \partial_{y_2}^2 - y_1^3 y_2 \partial_{x_3}^2 \partial_{y_1} + y_1 y_2^2 \partial_{x_2}^2 \partial_{y_1} - 2y_1^2 y_2 x_2 \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_3} \partial_{y_2} \\ &\quad + (-x_2^2 + y_2^2) y_1^2 y_2 \partial_{x_3}^2 \partial_{y_2} - y_1^2 y_2 \partial_{x_1}^2 \partial_{y_2} + 2y_1^2 y_2^2 \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_3} + 2y_1^2 y_2^2 x_2 \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_3}^2 \\ &\quad + y_1^2 \partial_{y_1}^2 - y_2^2 \partial_{y_2}^2 + 2y_1^2 x_2 \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_3} + (x_2^2 + y_2^2) y_1^2 \partial_{x_3}^2 + y_1^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 - y_2^2 \partial_{x_2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We will require expressions for the full operators.

The Lie algebra acts on smooth functions on K on the *left* by the operators [3, Section 3.8]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1 &= i(\cos \alpha \cot \beta \partial_\alpha + \sin \alpha \partial_\beta - \cos \alpha \csc \beta \partial_\gamma), \\ \mathcal{J}_2 &= i(\sin \alpha \cot \beta \partial_\alpha - \cos \alpha \partial_\beta - \sin \alpha \csc \beta \partial_\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(-\mathcal{J}_1 \pm i\mathcal{J}_2) \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^d = \sqrt{d(d+1) - m'(m' \pm 1)} \mathcal{D}_{m' \pm 1, m}^d.$$

We define the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1 &= y_1 (x_2 \partial_{x_3} + \partial_{x_1}), & \mathcal{T}_4 &= \mathcal{T}_3 \mathcal{T}_2 + \mathcal{T}_1 (1 - y_2 \partial_{y_2}), \\ \mathcal{T}_2 &= y_1 y_2 \partial_{x_3}, & \mathcal{T}_5 &= \mathcal{T}_2 (1 + y_2 \partial_{y_2} - y_1 \partial_{y_1}) + \mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_3, \\ \mathcal{T}_3 &= y_2 \partial_{x_2}, & \mathcal{T}_6 &= \mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 - \mathcal{T}_3 + y_1 \partial_{y_1} \mathcal{T}_3, \end{aligned}$$

then as functions of $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$, the full Casimir operators on $SL(3)$ are given by

$$(13) \quad \Delta_1 = \Delta_1^\circ + i\mathcal{J}_1 \mathcal{T}_1 - i\mathcal{J}_2 \mathcal{T}_2 + \partial_\alpha \mathcal{T}_3,$$

$$(14) \quad \Delta_2 = \Delta_2^\circ + i\mathcal{J}_1 (\mathcal{T}_4 + \partial_\alpha \mathcal{T}_2) - i\mathcal{J}_2 \mathcal{T}_5 + \partial_\alpha \mathcal{T}_6.$$

4.3. The projection operators. Let $\tilde{C}(G, \mathcal{D}^d)$ be the space of smooth functions on G that lie in the span of the entries of $\mathcal{D}^d$. That is $f \in \tilde{C}(G, \mathcal{D}^d)$ if and only if

$$f(xyk) = \sum_{|m'|, |m| \leq d} f_{m',m}(xy) \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^d(k).$$

For $f \in \tilde{C}(G, \mathcal{D}^d)$, taking the expansion into Wigner $\mathcal{D}$ -functions and evaluating at the identity gives

$$f(xyk) = (2d+1) \sum_{|m| \leq d} \int_K f(xykk') \overline{\mathcal{D}_{m,m}^d(k')} dk' = \sum_{|m| \leq d} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_m^d f(xyk),$$

where

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_m^d f)(g) = (2d+1) \int_K f(gk) \overline{\mathcal{D}_{m,m}^d(k)} dk$$

is the projection onto the span of the m -th column of $\mathcal{D}^d$.

The projection operators may also be written as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_m^d f(xyk) = (2d+1) \sum_{|m'| \leq d} \int_K f(xyk') \overline{\mathcal{D}_{m',m}^d(k')} dk' \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^d(k).$$

Also let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^d = \sum_{|m| \leq d} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_m^d.$$

Note that the projection operators $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_m^d$ commute with the differential operators Δ_1 and Δ_2 , but the projection onto the span of a single Wigner function $\mathcal{D}_{m',m}^d$ might not.

Now if $f \in \tilde{C}(G, \mathcal{D}^d)$, we may write f in terms of row vector-valued functions which transform by $\mathcal{D}^d$,

$$f(xyk) = \sum_{|l| \leq d} (f_l(xyk))_l, \quad f_l(xyk) := (2d+1) \int_K f(xykk') \mathcal{D}_l^d(k'^{-1}) dk' = f_l(xy) \mathcal{D}^d(k),$$

so we may move to considering vector-valued functions, say $C(G, \mathcal{D}^d)$ is the space of smooth vector-valued functions $f(xyk) = f(xy)\mathcal{D}^d(k)$. Define the vector projection operators $\mathcal{P}_m^d : \tilde{C}(G, \mathcal{D}^d) \rightarrow C(G, \mathcal{D}^d)$ by

$$(\mathcal{P}_m^d f)(g) = (2d+1) \int_K f(gk') \mathcal{D}_m^d(k'^{-1}) dk'.$$

Note that we have an isomorphism $\tilde{C}(G, \mathcal{D}^d) \cong C(G, \mathcal{D}^d)^{2d+1}$ as each scalar-valued function gives rise to one vector-valued function for each row of $\mathcal{D}^d$. These functions are inherently linear combinations of the rows of $\mathcal{D}^d(k)$,

$$(f_{-d}(xyk), \dots, f_d(xyk)) = \sum_{|m'| \leq d} f_{m'}(xy) \mathcal{D}_{m'}^d(k),$$

and the entries may be written as

$$f_m(xyk) = \sum_{|m'| \leq d} f_{m'}(xy) \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^d(k).$$

The raising and lowering operators on K then give

$$K_{\pm 1} f_m(xyk) = \sqrt{d(d+1) - m(m \pm 1)} f_{m \pm 1}(xyk),$$

using $f_m = 0$ when $|m| > d$. The operators $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_l^d$ and $K_{\pm 1}$ have simple commutation relations,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_l^d K_{\pm 1} = K_{\pm 1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{l \mp 1}^d,$$

and this gives

$$\mathcal{P}_l^d K_{\pm 1} = \sqrt{d(d+1) - l(l \pm 1)} \mathcal{P}_{l \mp 1}^d.$$

4.4. The commutation relations. We record the commutation relations amongst the differential operators. The commutators on the K_j are

$$[K_{\pm 1}, K_0] = \mp i K_{\pm 1}, \quad [K_1, K_{-1}] = -2i K_0,$$

The commutators involving an X_j and a K_j are

$$[X_j, K_0] = -ij X_j, \quad [X_{\pm 2}, K_{\mp 1}] = -2X_{\pm 1}, \quad [X_{\pm 1}, K_{\mp 1}] = -\sqrt{6} X_0,$$

$$[X_0, K_{\pm 1}] = -\sqrt{6} X_{\pm 1}, \quad [X_{\pm 1}, K_{\pm 1}] = -2X_{\pm 2}, \quad [X_{\pm 2}, K_{\pm 1}] = 0.$$

The commutators amongst the X_j are

$$[X_{\pm 2}, X_0] = 0, \quad [X_{\pm 1}, X_0] = \sqrt{6} K_{\pm 1}, \quad [X_{\pm 2}, X_{\pm 1}] = 0, \quad [X_{\pm 2}, X_{\mp 1}] = -2K_{\pm 1},$$

$$[X_2, X_{-2}] = -4i K_0, \quad [X_1, X_{-1}] = 2i K_0.$$

The relations with Δ_K are

$$[X_0, \Delta_K] = 6X_0 - \sqrt{6} K_{-1} X_1 - \sqrt{6} K_{+1} X_{-1},$$

$$[X_{\pm 1}, \Delta_K] = 6X_{\pm 1} \pm 2i K_0 X_{\pm 1} - \sqrt{6} K_{\pm 1} X_0 - 2K_{\mp 1} X_{\pm 2},$$

$$[X_{\pm 2}, \Delta_K] = 6X_{\pm 2} \pm 4i K_0 X_{\pm 2} - 2K_{\pm 1} X_{\pm 1}.$$

4.5. Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. It is a fact from the theory Wigner- $\mathcal{D}$ matrices that any product $\mathcal{D}_{j,l}^a \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^d$ lies in the span of $\{\mathcal{D}_{m'+j,m+l}^{d+b} \mid |b| \leq a\}$. More precisely, we have [3, eq. (3.189)],

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{D}_{j,i}^k \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^d = \sum_{|a| \leq k} \mathfrak{A}_{m',j}^{d,k,a} \mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,k,a} \mathcal{D}_{m'+j,m+i}^{d+a},$$

using the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients,

$$\mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,k,a} = \langle k \ i \ d \ m | (d+a) \ (i+m) \rangle,$$

or the Wigner three- j symbols via

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & -m_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{(-1)^{j_1-j_2+m_3}}{\sqrt{2j_3+1}} \langle j_1 \ m_1 \ j_2 \ m_2 | j_3 \ m_3 \rangle.$$

The coefficients are defined to be zero unless

$$(16) \quad |m| \leq d, |i| \leq k, |m+i| \leq d+a, |d-k| \leq d+a \leq d+k.$$

One particular symmetry relation is [8, eq. 34.3.10],

$$(17) \quad \mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,k,a} = (-1)^{k-a} \mathfrak{A}_{-m,-i}^{d,k,a}.$$

Because we only use $k=2$ and $k=1$, these may be found in Abramowitz and Stegun [1, Tables 27.9.2 & 4], and we list the relevant values here: Set

$$\mathfrak{C}_{m,i}^{d,k,a} = \frac{\sqrt{(2d+2a+1)(2d+a-k)!}}{\sqrt{(k-i)!(k+i)!(2d+a+k+1)!}} \times \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^i \sqrt{(d-m)!(d+m)!}}{\sqrt{(d+a-i-m)!(d+a+i+m)!}} & \text{if } a \leq 0, \\ \frac{\sqrt{(d+a-i-m)!(d+a+i+m)!}}{\sqrt{(d-m)!(d+m)!}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(18) \quad \mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,2,-2} = 2\sqrt{6} \mathfrak{C}_{m,i}^{d,2,-2}, \quad \mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,2,2} = 2\sqrt{6} \mathfrak{C}_{m,i}^{d,2,2},$$

$$(19) \quad \mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,2,-1} = 2\sqrt{6}(i(d+1)+2m) \mathfrak{C}_{m,i}^{d,2,-1}, \quad \mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,2,1} = 2\sqrt{6}(di-2m) \mathfrak{C}_{m,i}^{d,2,1},$$

$$(20) \quad \mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,2,0} = 2(2d^2(i^2-1) + d(5i^2+6im-2) + 3(i+m)(i+2m)) \mathfrak{C}_{m,i}^{d,2,0},$$

$$(21) \quad \mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,1,-1} = -\sqrt{2} \mathfrak{C}_{m,i}^{d,1,-1}, \quad \mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,1,0} = -2(i(d+1)+m) \mathfrak{C}_{m,i}^{d,1,1}, \quad \mathfrak{A}_{m,i}^{d,1,1} = \sqrt{2} \mathfrak{C}_{m,i}^{d,1,1}.$$

4.6. Barnes integrals. We will make use of Barnes' Second Lemma [2, Section 6]: For $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$(22) \quad \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+s)\Gamma(b+s)\Gamma(c+s)\Gamma(d-s)\Gamma(e-s)}{\Gamma(f+s)} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} = \frac{\Gamma(a+e)\Gamma(b+e)\Gamma(c+e)\Gamma(a+d)\Gamma(b+d)\Gamma(c+d)}{\Gamma(f-a)\Gamma(f-b)\Gamma(f-c)},$$

where $f = a+b+c+d+e$.

4.7. Wigner d-polynomials and Jacobi P-polynomials. The Wigner d-polynomials may be given in terms of Jacobi polynomials [3, (3.72)]

$$(23) \quad d_{m',m}^d(x) = 2^{-m} \sqrt{\frac{(d+m)!(d-m)!}{(d+m')!(d-m')!}} (1-x)^{\frac{m-m'}{2}} (1+x)^{\frac{m+m'}{2}} P_{d-m}^{(m-m', m+m')} x,$$

and they satisfy the symmetries [3, (3.80)-(3.82)]

$$(24) \quad d_{m',m}^d(x) = (-1)^{m'+m} d_{-m',-m}^d(x) = (-1)^{m'+m} d_{m,m'}^d(x).$$

We will need the first two Jacobi polynomials; they are [8, eq. 18.5.7]

$$(25) \quad P_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = 1, \quad P_1^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{\alpha - \beta + x(2 + \alpha + \beta)}{2},$$

5. THE ACTION OF THE X_j OPERATORS

We wish to describe the effect of each operator X_j on functions $f \in C(G, \mathcal{D}^d)$. We may restrict our attention to $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_l^{d+a} X_j f$ with $|a| \leq 2, |l| \leq d+a$, by the explicit form of the X_j and the fact that a product $\mathcal{D}_{i,j}^a \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^d$ lies in the span of $\{\mathcal{D}_{m'+i, m+j}^{d+b} \mid |b| \leq a\}$. Further, the components of f may be obtained by applying $K_{\pm 1}$ repeatedly to the central entry f_0 , and we have already described the commutation relations of these operators with $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{d+a}$ and X_j , so we need only consider $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_l^{d+a} X_j f_0$. Lastly, only the j -th column of $\mathcal{D}^{d+a}$ is involved in the operator $X_j f_0$, so it is sufficient to consider $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^{d+a} X_j f_0$ for $|a| \leq 2$. This gives, in principle, 25 operators to consider, but up to applying $K_{\pm 1}$ to the result, we have only the following five operators: Set

$$\mathfrak{B}^d = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} (-2(d+1), -(d+3), -3, (d-2), 2d),$$

$$\tilde{Y}_{m',j}^{d,a} = \mathfrak{A}_{m'-j,j}^{d,2,a} \times \begin{cases} Z_{-2} - m' - 2 & \text{if } j = -2, \\ Z_{-1} & \text{if } j = -1, \\ Z_0 - \mathfrak{B}_a^d & \text{if } j = 0, \\ Z_1 & \text{if } j = 1, \\ Z_2 + m' - 2 & \text{if } j = 2, \end{cases}$$

and define the operator on scalar-valued functions

$$(\tilde{Y}^{d,a} f_0)(xyk) = \sum_{m'=-d}^d \mathcal{D}_{m',0}^{d+a}(k) \sum_{j=-2}^2 \tilde{Y}_{m',j}^{d,a} f_{m'-j}(xy),$$

where

$$\sum_{m'=-d}^d \mathcal{D}_{m',0}^d(k) f_{m'}(xy) = (\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0^d f)(xyk).$$

Here, and throughout, we define $f_{m'} = 0$ for $|m'| > d$.

Proposition 7. *Suppose $f \in \tilde{C}(G, \mathcal{D}^d)$, and assume $f = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0^d f$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0^{d+a} X_0 f &= \mathfrak{A}_{0,0}^{d,2,a} \tilde{Y}^{d,a} f, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\pm 1}^{d+a} X_{\pm 1} f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d+a)(d+a+1)}} \mathfrak{A}_{0,\pm 1}^{d,2,a} K_{\pm 1} \tilde{Y}^{d,a} f, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\pm 2}^{d+a} X_{\pm 2} f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d+a)(d+a+1)}\sqrt{(d+a)(d+a+1)-2}} \mathfrak{A}_{0,\pm 2}^{d,2,a} K_{\pm 1} K_{\pm 1} \tilde{Y}^{d,a} f.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can realize the $\tilde{Y}^{d,a}$ operator as as

$$\tilde{Y}^{d,a} f = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{A}_{0,0}^{d,2,a}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0^{d+a} X_0 \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0^d f,$$

for $a = -2, 0, 2$, and

$$\tilde{Y}^{d,a} f = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{A}_{0,1}^{d,2,a} \sqrt{(d+a)(d+a+1)}} K_{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1^{d+a} X_1 \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0^d f,$$

for $a = \pm 1$.

We may extend this to an operator on vector-valued functions

$$Y^a f = \mathcal{P}_0^{d+a} \tilde{Y}^{d,a} f_0,$$

with components

$$(Y^a f)_{m'}(xy) = \sum_{j=-2}^2 \tilde{Y}_{m',j}^{d,a} f_{m'-j}(xy),$$

and again we may realize this as

$$Y^a f = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{A}_{0,0}^{d,2,a}} \mathcal{P}_0^{d+a} X_0 f_0,$$

for $a = -2, 0, 2$, and

$$(Y^a f)(g) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{A}_{0,1}^{d,2,a}} \mathcal{P}_1^{d+a} X_1 f_0,$$

for $a = \pm 1$. The dimension d is to be understood from context; alternately, one may think of Y^a as an operator on the vector space of vector-valued functions of all dimensions.

Proof of Proposition 7. We give the proof just in the case $j = \pm 1$, as this is slightly more complicated than the others. The assumption on f is that its K -part is supported on the entries of the central column of $\mathcal{D}^d$. Applying (15) to (10) and dropping the terms not

supported on the ± 1 column of $\mathcal{D}^{d+a}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\pm 1}^{d+a} \left(X_{\pm 1} - \frac{1}{3} K_{\pm 1} \right) f = \\
& \mathfrak{A}_{0,\pm 1}^{d,2,a} \sum_{m'=-d}^d \sum_{j=-2}^2 \mathfrak{A}_{m',j}^{d,2,a} \mathcal{D}_{m'+j,\pm 1}^{d+a}(k) Z_j f_{m'}(xy) \\
& + \mathfrak{A}_{0,\pm 1}^{d,2,a} \sum_{m'=-d}^d m' \left(\mathfrak{A}_{m',2}^{d,2,a} \mathcal{D}_{m'+2,\pm 1}^{d+a}(k) - \mathfrak{A}_{m',-2}^{d,2,a} \mathcal{D}_{m'-2,\pm 1}^{d+a}(k) \right) f_{m'}(xy) \mathcal{D}_{m',0}^d(k) \\
& - \frac{4\sqrt{d(d+1)}}{3} \mathfrak{A}_{\pm 1,0}^{d,2,a} \sum_{m'=-d}^d f_{m'}(xy) \mathfrak{A}_{m',0}^{d,2,a} \mathcal{D}_{m',\pm 1}^{d+a}(k) \\
& \pm \sqrt{2} \mathfrak{A}_{0,\pm 1}^{d,1,a} \sum_{m'=-d}^d m' f_{m'}(xy) \mathfrak{A}_{m',0}^{d,1,a} \mathcal{D}_{m',\pm 1}^{d+a}(k).
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$-\frac{4\sqrt{d(d+1)}}{3} \mathfrak{A}_{\pm 1,0}^{d,2,a} \mathfrak{A}_{m',0}^{d,2,a} \pm \sqrt{2} m' \mathfrak{A}_{0,\pm 1}^{d,1,a} \mathfrak{A}_{m',0}^{d,1,a} = -\delta_{a=0} \frac{\sqrt{d(d+1)}}{3} - \mathfrak{B}_a^d \mathfrak{A}_{0,\pm 1}^{d,2,a} \mathfrak{A}_{m',0}^{d,2,a},$$

so

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\pm 1}^{d+a} X_{\pm 1} f = \mathfrak{A}_{0,\pm 1}^{d,2,a} \sum_{m'=-d}^d \mathcal{D}_{m',\pm 1}^{d+a}(k) \sum_{j=-2}^2 Y_{m',j}^a f_{m'-j}(xy),$$

and the claim follows.

The other two cases may be approached by considering $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0^{d+a} X_0 f$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\pm 2}^{d+a} X_{\pm 2} f$ directly. The relevant properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients in those cases are

$$\sqrt{d(d+1)} \left(\mathfrak{A}_{-1,1}^{d,2,a} + \mathfrak{A}_{1,-1}^{d,2,a} \right) = \mathfrak{A}_{0,0}^{d,2,a} \mathfrak{B}_a^d,$$

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{6d(d+1)}}{3} \mathfrak{A}_{\pm 1,\pm 1}^{d,2,a} = \mathfrak{A}_{0,\pm 2}^{d,2,a} \mathfrak{B}_a^d.$$

These identities, and the previous one, can be verified from (18)-(21) or by the properties of the Wigner $3j$ -Symbol [8, Section 34.3]. $\square$

5.1. The adjoint of Y^a . First, suppose $f \in \tilde{C}(\Gamma \backslash G, \mathcal{D}^d)$ and $h \in C(\Gamma \backslash G, \mathcal{D}^d)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} (\mathcal{P}_m^d f)(g) \overline{h(g)}^T dg &= (2d+1) \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(g) \int_K \mathcal{D}_m^d(k^{-1}) \mathcal{D}^d(k) dk \overline{h(g)}^T dg \\
&= (2d+1) \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(g) \overline{h_m(g)} dg,
\end{aligned}$$

since the integral over K in the middle is just the m -th row of the identity matrix (indexing from the center).

Now suppose $f \in C(\Gamma \backslash G, \mathcal{D}^d)$ and $h \in C(\Gamma \backslash G, \mathcal{D}^{d+a})$, then if a is even,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} (Y^a f)(g) \overline{h(g)}^T dg &= \frac{(2d+2a+1)}{\mathfrak{A}_{0,0}^{d,2,a}} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} (X_0 f_0)(g) \overline{h_0(g)} dg \\ &= \frac{(2d+2a+1)}{\mathfrak{A}_{0,0}^{d,2,a}} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f_0(g) \overline{(-X_0 h_0)(g)} dg \\ &= \frac{(2d+2a+1)}{(2d+1)\mathfrak{A}_{0,0}^{d,2,a}} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(g) \overline{(\mathcal{P}_0^d(-X_0)h_0)(g)}^T dg, \end{aligned}$$

so the adjoint of Y^a is

$$\hat{Y}^a h = -\frac{(2d+2a+1)}{(2d+1)\mathfrak{A}_{0,0}^{d,2,a}} \mathcal{P}_0^d X_0 h_0 = -\frac{(2d+2a+1)\mathfrak{A}_{0,0}^{d+a,2,-a}}{(2d+1)\mathfrak{A}_{0,0}^{d,2,a}} Y^{-a},$$

since the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and X_0 are real. Similarly, if a is odd, the adjoint is

$$\hat{Y}^a h = \frac{(2d+2a+1)}{(2d+1)\mathfrak{A}_{0,1}^{d,2,a}} \mathcal{P}_0^d(-\overline{X_1})h_1,$$

but we would prefer to use h_0 , since this is where we have done all of our computations so far, so we use

$$\hat{Y}^a h = \frac{(2d+2a+1)}{(2d+1)\sqrt{(d+a)(d+a+1)}\mathfrak{A}_{0,1}^{d,2,a}} \mathcal{P}_0^d X_{-1} K_1 h_0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_0^d X_{-1} K_1 h_0 &= \mathcal{P}_0^d K_1 X_{-1} h_0 - \sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}_0^d X_0 h_0 = \sqrt{d(d+1)} \mathcal{P}_{-1}^d X_{-1} h_0 - 0 \\ &= \sqrt{d(d+1)} \mathfrak{A}_{0,-1}^{d+a,2,-a} Y^{-a}, \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\hat{Y}^a = \frac{(2d+2a+1)\sqrt{d(d+1)}\mathfrak{A}_{0,-1}^{d+a,2,-a}}{(2d+1)\sqrt{(d+a)(d+a+1)}\mathfrak{A}_{0,1}^{d,2,a}} Y^{-a}.$$

In general, after writing out the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients,

$$(26) \quad \hat{Y}^a = -(-1)^a \sqrt{\frac{2d+2a+1}{2d+1}} Y^{-a}.$$

5.2. The action of Y^a on the power function. Define $Y_\mu^a : \mathbb{C}^{2d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2d+2a+1}$ by

$$Y_\mu^a u = p_{-\rho-\mu}(y) Y^a u p_{\rho+\mu}(y),$$

and $\hat{Y}_\mu^a : \mathbb{C}^{2d+2a+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2d+1}$ by

$$\hat{Y}_\mu^a u = p_{-\rho-\mu}(y) \hat{Y}^a u p_{\rho+\mu}(y).$$

The Z_j operators collectively act on the power function as

$$Z p_{\rho+\mu} = (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1, 0, \sqrt{6}(\mu_3 - 1), 0, \mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1) p_{\rho+\mu},$$

and using $\mathbf{v}_j^d$ and $\mathbf{u}_j^{d,\pm}$ as in (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y^a \mathbf{v}_j^d p_{\rho+\mu} = & \left(\mathbf{v}_{j-2}^{d+a} \mathfrak{A}_{j,-2}^{d,2,a} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j) \right. \\ & + \mathbf{v}_j^{d+a} \mathfrak{A}_{j,0}^{d,2,a} (\sqrt{6}(\mu_3 - 1) - \mathfrak{B}_a^d) \\ & \left. + \mathbf{v}_{j+2}^{d+a} \mathfrak{A}_{j,2}^{d,2,a} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 + j) \right) p_{\rho+\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

and by the symmetry (17),

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad Y_\mu^a \mathbf{u}_j^{d,\pm} = & \mathfrak{A}_{j,-2}^{d,2,a} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j) \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d+a,\pm} \\ & + \mathfrak{A}_{j,0}^{d,2,a} (\sqrt{6}(\mu_3 - 1) - \mathfrak{B}_a^d) \mathbf{u}_j^{d+a,\pm} \\ & + \mathfrak{A}_{j,2}^{d,2,a} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 + j) \mathbf{u}_{j+2}^{d+a,\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

The reason for the $(-1)^d$ in the definition of $\mathbf{u}_j^{d,\pm}$ is to maintain consistency across parities of a in the above equation.

Because this is the key identity, we write it out explicitly for each a . We assume $|j| \leq d$. At $a = 0$, $Y_\mu^0 \mathbf{u}_j^{0,\pm} = 0$, and when $d > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (28) \quad 0 = & \sqrt{6(d+2-j)(d+1-j)(d+j)(d-1+j)} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j) \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d,\pm} \\ & - 2 \left(\sqrt{6(d(d+1) - 3j^2)\mu_3} + \sqrt{d(d+1)(2d-1)(2d+3)} Y_\mu^0 \right) \mathbf{u}_j^{d,\pm} \\ & + \sqrt{6(d+2+j)(d+1+j)(d-j)(d-1-j)} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 + j) \mathbf{u}_{j+2}^{d,\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

At $a = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (29) \quad \sqrt{2d(d+1)(d+2)(2d+1)} Y_\mu^1 \mathbf{u}_j^{d,\pm} = & \\ & - \sqrt{(d+1-j)(d+2-j)(d+3-j)(d+j)} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j) \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d+1,\pm} \\ & - 2j \sqrt{(d+1-j)(d+1+j)(3\mu_3 - d - 1)} \mathbf{u}_j^{d+1,\pm} \\ & + \sqrt{(d-j)(d+1+j)(d+2+j)(d+3+j)} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 + j) \mathbf{u}_{j+2}^{d+1,\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

At $a = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (30) \quad \sqrt{2d(d-1)(d+1)(2d+1)} Y_\mu^{-1} \mathbf{u}_j^{d,\pm} = & \\ & - \sqrt{(d+1-j)(d-2+j)(d-1+j)(d+j)} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j) \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d-1,\pm} \\ & + 2j \sqrt{(d-j)(d+j)(3\mu_3 + d)} \mathbf{u}_j^{d-1,\pm} \\ & + \sqrt{(d-2-j)(d-1-j)(d-j)(d+1+j)} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 + j) \mathbf{u}_{j+2}^{d-1,\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

At $a = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (31) \quad 2\sqrt{(d+1)(d+2)(2d+1)(2d+3)} Y_\mu^2 \mathbf{u}_j^{d,\pm} = & \\ & \sqrt{(d+1-j)(d+2-j)(d+3-j)(d+4-j)} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j) \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d+2,\pm} \\ & + 2\sqrt{(d+1-j)(d+2-j)(d+1+j)(d+2+j)} (3\mu_3 - 2d - 3) \mathbf{u}_j^{d+2,\pm} \\ & + \sqrt{(d+1+j)(d+2+j)(d+3+j)(d+4+j)} (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 + j) \mathbf{u}_{j+2}^{d+2,\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

At $a = -2$,

$$(32) \quad 2\sqrt{d(d-1)(2d-1)(2d+1)}Y_\mu^{-2}\mathbf{u}_j^{d,\pm} = \\ \sqrt{(d-3+j)(d-2+j)(d-1+j)(d+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j)\mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d-2,\pm} \\ + 2\sqrt{(d-1-j)(d-j)(d-1+j)(d+j)}(3\mu_3 + 2d - 1)\mathbf{u}_j^{d-2,\pm} \\ + \sqrt{(d-3-j)(d-2-j)(d-1-j)(d-j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 + j)\mathbf{u}_{j+2}^{d-2,\pm}.$$

6. THE MINIMAL-WEIGHT WHITTAKER FUNCTIONS

In this section, we will prove Theorem 6, and analyze some degenerate cases of the Jacquet-Whittaker integral for the purpose of proving they cannot occur among the cusp forms. First, we give some general results on Whittaker functions that were not needed for the previous paper.

6.1. The differential equations satisfied by the Whittaker functions. Set

$$\Phi(rxyk) = \phi(xy)\mathcal{D}^d(k), \quad \phi(xy) = (\phi_{-d}(xy), \dots, \phi_d(xy)),$$

then the components of Φ are

$$\Phi_m = \sum_{m'=-d}^d \phi_{m'}\mathcal{D}_{m',m}^d, \quad \Phi = \sum_{m'=-d}^d \phi_{m'}\mathcal{D}_{m'}^d$$

and requiring $\Delta_i\Phi = \lambda_i\Phi$ is equivalent to

$$0 = (\Delta_1^\circ + im'\mathcal{T}_3 - \lambda_1)\phi_{m'} \\ + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{d(d+1) - m'(m'+1)}(i\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_2)\phi_{m'+1} \\ + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{d(d+1) - m'(m'-1)}(i\mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2)\phi_{m'-1}, \\ 0 = (\Delta_2^\circ - im'\mathcal{T}_6 - \lambda_2)\phi_{m'} \\ + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{d(d+1) - m'(m'+1)}(-i\mathcal{T}_4 + \mathcal{T}_5 - (m'+1)\mathcal{T}_2)\phi_{m'+1} \\ + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{d(d+1) - m'(m'-1)}(-i\mathcal{T}_4 - \mathcal{T}_5 - (m'-1)\mathcal{T}_2)\phi_{m'-1},$$

by (13) and (14).

Suppose also that $\phi(xy) = \psi_{1,1}(x)\phi(y)$, then

$$(33) \quad 0 = (\Delta_1^w - 2\pi m'y_2 - \lambda_1)\phi_{m'}(y) \\ - \pi\sqrt{d(d+1) - m'(m'+1)}y_1\phi_{m'+1}(y) \\ - \pi\sqrt{d(d+1) - m'(m'-1)}y_1\phi_{m'-1}(y), \\ (34) \quad 0 = (\Delta_2^w + 2\pi m'y_2(y_1\partial_{y_1} - 1) - \lambda_2)\phi_{m'}(y) \\ + \pi\sqrt{d(d+1) - m'(m'+1)}y_1(1 - y_2\partial_{y_2} - 2\pi y_2)\phi_{m'+1}(y) \\ + \pi\sqrt{d(d+1) - m'(m'-1)}y_1(1 - y_2\partial_{y_2} + 2\pi y_2)\phi_{m'-1}(y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1^w &= -y_1^2 \partial_{y_1}^2 - y_2^2 \partial_{y_2}^2 + y_1 y_2 \partial_{y_1} \partial_{y_2} + 4\pi^2 y_1^2 + 4\pi^2 y_2^2, \\ \Delta_2^w &= -y_1^2 y_2 \partial_{y_1}^2 \partial_{y_2} + y_1 y_2^2 \partial_{y_1} \partial_{y_2}^2 - 4\pi^2 y_1 y_2^2 \partial_{y_1} + 4\pi^2 y_1^2 y_2 \partial_{y_2} \\ &\quad + y_1^2 \partial_{y_1}^2 - y_2^2 \partial_{y_2}^2 - 4\pi^2 y_1^2 + 4\pi^2 y_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

Some rearranging gives

$$(35) \quad S_{m'}^\pm \phi_{m'}(y) = \pm \sqrt{d(d+1) - m'(m' \pm 1)} \phi_{m' \pm 1}(y),$$

$$(36) \quad S_{m'}^\pm := \frac{1}{4\pi^2 y_1 y_2} \left((1 - y_2 \partial_{y_2} \pm 2\pi y_2) (\Delta_1^w - \lambda_1) + (\Delta_2^w - \lambda_2) \right. \\ \left. + 2\pi m' y_2 (y_1 \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_2} - 1 \mp 2\pi y_2) \right).$$

One particular consequence is that the full vector-valued Whittaker function may be generated from any given entry, so any vector-valued Whittaker function is identically zero exactly when any entry is identically zero.

6.2. The intertwining operators. Suppose $\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1) > \operatorname{Re}(\mu_2) > \operatorname{Re}(\mu_3)$ and none of $\mu_i - \mu_j \in \mathbb{Z}, i \neq j$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(Y_\mu^a(vT(w^{-1}, \mu^w)))W^{d+a}(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) &= Y^a(vT(w^{-1}, \mu^w))W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) \\ &= Y^a(vW^d(g, \mu^w, \psi_{1,1})) \\ &= (Y_{\mu^w}^a v)W^{d+a}(g, \mu^w, \psi_{1,1}) \\ &= ((Y_{\mu^w}^a v)T(w^{-1}, \mu^w))W^{d+a}(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}),\end{aligned}$$

and the first-term asymptotic of $W^{d+a}(y, \mu, \psi_{1,1})$ as $y \rightarrow 0$ is an invertible matrix. Thus, we have

$$(37) \quad Y_\mu^a(vT(w^{-1}, \mu^w)) = (Y_{\mu^w}^a v)T(w^{-1}, \mu^w).$$

This continues to an equality of meromorphic functions in μ .

6.3. The dual Whittaker functions. Comparing (I.56) to (I.58), we have the relation

$$\mathcal{D}^d(w_l)W^d(I, \mu, \psi_y)\mathcal{D}^d(w_l) = W^d(I, -\mu^{w_l}, \psi_{-y^t})$$

(see (I.81)), and by the various functional equations, we have

$$W^d(y, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l)W^d(y^t, -\mu^{w_l}, \psi_{1,1})\mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l).$$

Thus in general, we have

$$W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l)W^d(v_{--}g^t w_l, -\mu^{w_l}, \psi_{1,1}).$$

Set $\check{f}(g) = f(g^t w_l)$, then the action of the K_i operators on the dual form $\check{f}$ is given by

$$K_i \check{f}(g) = \frac{d}{dt} f(g^t w_l \exp(-tK_i^T)) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} f(g^t w_l \exp tK_i) \Big|_{t=0} = \widetilde{K_i} \check{f}(g^t).$$

Similarly $X_i \widetilde{f}(g) = -\widetilde{X_i f}(g')$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_\mu^a(f^d \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--} w_l))) W^{d+a}(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) &= Y^a f^d W^d(v_{--} g' w_l, -\mu^{w_l}, \psi_{1,1}) \\ &= - (Y_{-\mu^{w_l}}^a f^d) W^{d+a}(v_{--} g' w_l, -\mu^{w_l}, \psi_{1,1}) \\ &= - (Y_{-\mu^{w_l}}^a f^d) \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--} w_l) W^{d+a}(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}), \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude as before that

$$Y_\mu^a(f^d \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--} w_l)) = - (Y_{-\mu^{w_l}}^a f^d) \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--} w_l).$$

6.4. The central entry. Suppose $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = d - 1$, $d \geq 2$, and temporarily suppose $\text{Re}(\mu_2 - \mu_3)$ is large. The cases $d = 0, 1$ of Theorem 6 may be handled similarly. Starting from (I.56), we send $u_3 \mapsto u_3 \sqrt{1 + u_2^2}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_{-d,0}^d(I, \mu, \psi_y) &= (-1)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + u_3^2)^{\frac{-1+\mu_3-\mu_1}{2}} (1 + u_2^2)^{\frac{-1+\mu_3-\mu_2}{2}} \mathcal{W}_{-d} \left(y_1 \frac{\sqrt{1+u_3^2}}{\sqrt{1+u_2^2}}, \mu_1 - \mu_2 \right) \\ &\quad d_{-d,0}^d \left(\frac{-u_3}{\sqrt{1+u_3^2}} \right) e \left(-y_1 \frac{u_2 u_3}{\sqrt{1+u_2^2}} \right) e(-y_2 u_2) du_2 du_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then (I.17) and [8, eq. 13.18.2] imply

$$\mathcal{W}_{-d}(y, d-1) = (-1)^d \frac{(2\pi)^d y^{d-1}}{(d-1)!} \exp(-2\pi y).$$

We also have, by (23) and (25),

$$d_{-d,0}^d(x) = d_{0,d}^d(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(2d)!}}{d! 2^d} (1 - x^2)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Plugging in the two previous displays, applying (I.51) (or the definition of the gamma function) to each of the three exponentials, and evaluating the u integrals with (I.27) gives

$$\begin{aligned} W_{-d,0}^d(I, \mu, \psi_y) &= \frac{\sqrt{(2d)!} \pi^d y_1^{d-1}}{d! (d-1)!} \int_{\text{Re}(s)=\epsilon} (2\pi y_1)^{-s_1-s_3} (2\pi y_2)^{-s_2} \Gamma(s_1) \Gamma(s_3) \Gamma(s_2) \cos \frac{\pi s_2}{2} \cos \frac{\pi s_3}{2} \\ &\quad B \left(\frac{1-s_3-s_2}{2}, \frac{\mu_1-\mu_2-s_1+s_2}{2} \right) B \left(\frac{1-s_3}{2}, \frac{d+\mu_3-\mu_2+s_1+s_3}{2} \right) \frac{ds}{(2\pi i)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

We may now suppose $\mu = (\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it)$ or $\mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d)$, as the convergence is clear.

Send $s_1 \mapsto s_1 - s_3$, and apply duplication and reflection so that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{-d,0}^d(I, \mu, \psi_y) &= \frac{\sqrt{(2d)!} \pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{8 d! (d-1)!} \int_{\text{Re}(s)=\epsilon} (\pi y_1)^{d-1-s_1} (\pi y_2)^{-s_2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s_2}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1+\mu_1-\mu_2+s_1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1-s_2}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1+\mu_1-\mu_2-s_1}{2})} \\ &\quad \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-s_3-s_2}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\mu_1-\mu_2-s_1+s_3+s_2}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{s_3}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{s_1-s_3}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1+s_1-s_3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1+\mu_3-\mu_2+s_1-s_3}{2})} \frac{ds}{(2\pi i)^3}, \end{aligned}$$

using

$$1 + \mu_1 - \mu_3 = d + \mu_2 - \mu_3 = \begin{cases} \frac{d+1}{2} + 3it & \text{if } \mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it\right), \\ 2d - 1 & \text{if } \mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d). \end{cases}$$

We apply (22) on $-\frac{s_3}{2}$, substitute $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto (s_1 + d - 1 - \mu_1, s_2 + \mu_3)$, and use (I.40) to obtain

$$W_{-d,0}^d(y, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\text{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} (\pi y_1)^{1-s_1} (\pi y_2)^{1-s_2} \frac{G_0^d(s, \mu)}{\Lambda_{(0,0,1)}^d(\mu)} \frac{ds}{(2\pi i)^2},$$

with G^d as in (6), and using the contour

$$\mathfrak{s} = \begin{cases} (\epsilon, \epsilon) & \text{if } \mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it\right), \\ (\epsilon, d-1) & \text{if } \mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d). \end{cases}$$

6.5. The remaining components of the Whittaker function. We continue to assume $d \geq 2$ and specify $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it\right)$. We've computed the base case $m' = 0$ directly, and the cases $m' = \pm 1$ can be verified from the $S_{m'}^\pm$ operator, so we have to compute $|m'| \geq 2$. It is sufficient to verify the terms $1 \leq |m'| \leq d-1$ satisfy (33), and in the following proof we assume $|m'| \geq 2$ for convenience. Let $m' = \varepsilon m$, then we need to verify

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\text{Re}(s)=\mathfrak{s}} \left((\Delta_1^w - 2\pi m' y_2 - \lambda_1) (\pi y_1)^{1-s_1} (\pi y_2)^{1-s_2} \sum_{\ell=0}^m \varepsilon^\ell \binom{m}{\ell} G^d((d-m, \ell), s, \mu) \right. \\ &\quad - (d-m) (\pi y_1)^{1-s_1} (\pi y_2)^{1-s_2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m+1} \varepsilon^\ell \binom{m+1}{\ell} G^d((d-m-1, \ell), s, \mu) \\ &\quad \left. - (d+m) (\pi y_1)^{1-s_1} (\pi y_2)^{1-s_2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \varepsilon^\ell \binom{m-1}{\ell} G^d((d-m+1, \ell), s, \mu) \right) \frac{ds}{(2\pi i)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply the differential operator and shift s on the various terms so we may deal with the Mellin transform directly. We factor out

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1-\mu_1+s_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d-\mu_1+s_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_1+s_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu_1+s_2}{2}\right)$$

which replaces the terms $G^d(\dots)$ with a polynomial times a beta function of the form

$$B\left(\frac{d-m+a-\mu_3+s_1}{2}, \frac{\ell+b+\mu_3+s_2}{2}\right), \quad a = 0, 2, \quad b = 0, 1, 2.$$

Now apply

$$B(x+1, y) = B(x, y) - B(x, y+1)$$

to normalize $a \mapsto 0$, and substitute $\ell \mapsto \ell - b$ to align the beta functions. After some algebra and removing a factor $(s_1 - s_2 - 2it)$, we need to show

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & (s_2 + \mu_3)B\left(\frac{d-m-\mu_3+s_1}{2}, \frac{\mu_3+s_2}{2}\right) \\
& + \varepsilon m(s_2 + \mu_3 + 1)B\left(\frac{d-m-\mu_3+s_1}{2}, \frac{\mu_3+s_2+1}{2}\right) \\
& + \sum_{l=2}^m \varepsilon^l \left(\binom{m}{l} (s_2 + \mu_3 + l) - \binom{m}{l-2} (d - m + s_1 + s_2 + l - 2) \right) \\
& \quad \times B\left(\frac{d-m-\mu_3+s_1}{2}, \frac{\mu_3+s_2+l}{2}\right) \\
& - \varepsilon^{m+1} m(d - 1 + s_1 + s_2)B\left(\frac{d-m-\mu_3+s_1}{2}, \frac{\mu_3+s_2+m+1}{2}\right) \\
& - \varepsilon^m (d + s_1 + s_2)B\left(\frac{d-m-\mu_3+s_1}{2}, \frac{\mu_3+s_2+m+2}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

The even and odd terms of this sum then separately telescope to zero.

6.6. The bad Whittaker functions. Suppose $\mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d)$. Then Section 6.4 shows the Whittaker functions $W_{-d}^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1})$ are non-zero and have bad asymptotics, i.e. they are not suitable for cusp forms since the central entry tends to infinity as $y_2 \rightarrow 0$. Now we have

$$\mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Sigma_{++}^d \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) = \Sigma_{++}^d, \quad \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Sigma_{--}^d \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) = \Sigma_{--}^d, \quad \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Sigma_{+-}^d \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) = \Sigma_{+-}^d,$$

so if $\varepsilon = (-1)^d = (-1)^\delta$, $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, then

$$\mathbf{u}_{d-1}^{d,\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) = \sum_{0 \leq 2j+\delta \leq d} 2\mathcal{D}_{d-1,2j+\delta}^d(w_l) \mathbf{u}_{2j+\delta}^{d,-\varepsilon}, \quad \mathbf{u}_d^{d,\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) = \sum_{0 \leq 2j+\delta \leq d} 2c_{2j+\delta} \mathcal{D}_{d,2j+\delta}^d(w_l) \mathbf{u}_{2j+\delta}^{d,\varepsilon},$$

with $c_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $c_m = 1$, $m > 0$. But $1 + (-\mu_1^{w_l} - (-\mu_2^{w_l})) \pm (2j + \delta) = 2 - d \pm (2j + \delta)$, so by the zero hiding in the gamma function in denominator of (I.17) in (I.56), all of the Whittaker functions $\mathbf{u}_{2j+\delta}^{d,\pm} W^d(y^\ell, -\mu^{w_l}, \psi_{1,1})$ are zero except for

$$\mathbf{u}_d^{d,\pm} W^d(y^\ell, -\mu^{w_l}, \psi_{1,1}) = \pm \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} W_{-d}^d(y^\ell, -\mu^{w_l}, \psi_{1,1}).$$

Of course, $-\mu^{w_l} = \mu$, and again, Section 6.4 shows $\mathbf{v}_{-d}^d \mathcal{D}^d(v_{-} w_l) W^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1})$ is non-zero and has bad asymptotics. Note that these Whittaker functions are linearly independent from their duals by taking asymptotics, due to the asymmetry in $y \mapsto y^\ell$.

7. GOING UP

For a character χ of V , define $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ by $(-1)^\delta = \chi(v_{-+})$ and $\varepsilon = \chi(v_{+-})$. Let $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\chi}^d = \text{span}_{j \geq \kappa} \mathbf{u}_{2j+\delta}^{d,\varepsilon} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2d+1}$. For the remainder of this section, we fix a triple of spectral parameters μ and a character χ with its two parities δ and ε . The unitarity conditions on the spectral parameters of Maass cusp forms, meaning the symmetries of the differential operators Δ_1 and Δ_2 , imply that, for such forms, $-\bar{\mu}$ is a permutation of μ , i.e. that μ is a permutation of either

$$(it_1, it_2, -i(t_1 + t_2)) \quad \text{or} \quad (x + it, -x + it, -2it), \quad t_i, x, t \in \mathbb{R}, t_1 > t_2 > -t_1 - t_2, x \geq 0.$$

We choose the trivial permutation. In case $\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 \in (2\mathbb{Z} + \delta)$, we define $\kappa = \mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1$, and otherwise we set $\kappa = 0$. For convenience, we define $d_0 = \kappa$ when $\kappa > 0$ and

$$d_0 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \delta = 1 \text{ or } \varepsilon = -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

when $\kappa = 0$. Lastly and for this section only, we apply the shorthand $\mathbf{u}_j^d = \mathbf{u}_j^{d,\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{V}^d = \mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\chi}^d$.

For each dimension/weight d , we have a “minimal-weight vector”

$$\mathbf{u}_{\min}^d = \mathbf{u}_{j_{\min}}^d, \quad j_{\min} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \kappa = \delta = 0, d \not\equiv d_0 \pmod{2}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \delta = 1 \text{ and } \kappa = 0, \\ \kappa & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We wish to show for $d \geq d_0$ that $\mathcal{V}^d$ can be generated by applying the Y_μ^a operators to $\mathbf{u}_{\min}^{d_0}$. We accomplish this through induction by showing that suitable combinations of operators applied to $\mathbf{u}_{\min}^d$ will give $\mathbf{u}_{\min}^{d+1}$ or $\mathbf{u}_{\min}^{d+2}$; we call this the $d \rightarrow d+1$ or $d \rightarrow d+2$ step. We can then fill out the remainder of $\mathcal{V}^{d+1}$ or $\mathcal{V}^{d+2}$ by repeatedly applying (28), provided $\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j$ is non-zero at every step, and this is true by construction since $d \geq d_0 \geq \kappa$.

All of the raising operators described in the induction argument below follow from either (29) and (31) directly or from the following two linear combinations: Set

$$(38) \quad R_{\mu,j}^{d,1} = \sqrt{d(d+2)^2(2d+1)^2(2d+5)} Y_\mu^0 Y_\mu^1 \\ + 2\sqrt{6d(d+1)(d+2)(2d+1)(d-1-2j-2\mu_3)} Y_\mu^1 \\ - \sqrt{d^2(d+2)(2d-1)(2d+1)(2d+3)} Y_\mu^1 Y_\mu^0,$$

$$(39) \quad R_{\mu,j}^{d,2} = \sqrt{(d+1)(d+2)^2(d+3)(2d+1)(2d+7)} Y_\mu^0 Y_\mu^2 \\ + 2\sqrt{6(d+1)(d+2)(2d+1)(2d+3)(2d+1-2j-2\mu_3)} Y_\mu^2 \\ - \sqrt{d(d+1)^2(d+2)(2d-1)(2d+1)} Y_\mu^2 Y_\mu^0,$$

then

$$(40) \quad R_{\mu,j}^{d,1} \mathbf{u}_j^d = 8j\sqrt{3(d+1-j)(d+2-j)(d+3-j)(d+j)(\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j)} \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d+1} \\ - 8j\sqrt{3(d+1-j)(d+1+j)((d-j-2)(j+1+3\mu_3))} \\ - 2(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + 1)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 + 1) + 4(j+1) \mathbf{u}_j^{d+1},$$

$$(41) \quad R_{\mu,j}^{d,2} \mathbf{u}_j^d = -4j\sqrt{6(d+1-j)(d+2-j)(d+3-j)(d+4-j)(\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j)} \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d+2} \\ - 4\sqrt{6(d+1-j)(d+2-j)(d+1+j)(d+2+j)((2d-j)(d+2-3\mu_3))} \\ + 2(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + 1)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 + 1) - j(d+1-j) \mathbf{u}_j^{d+2}.$$

In both operators, it is not too hard to see that the constant multiplying $\mathbf{u}_j^{d+a}$ is non-zero, but we will show a stronger statement about the norms of the new vectors. These become true raising operators because in the cases we use them one of the following is true:

- (1) $j = 0$,
- (2) $\mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d+a} = 0$,

- (3) $\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j = 0$,
- (4) $j = 1$ (so $\mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d+a} = \pm \mathbf{u}_j^{d+a}$).

Note that this is where the argument would fail if we attempted to use the highest-weight vector.

Further suppose we have a sequence of sesquilinear forms $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{V}^d \times \mathcal{V}_{0,\chi}^d$, $d \geq d_0$ that satisfy:

$$(42) \quad \langle su, tv \rangle = s\bar{t} \langle u, v \rangle, \quad \langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, \text{proj}_{\mathcal{V}^d} v \rangle, \quad \langle Y_\mu^a u, v \rangle = \langle u, \hat{Y}_{-\bar{\mu}}^a v \rangle,$$

and that, for $d = d_0$, we have

$$(43) \quad \langle \mathbf{u}_{2i+\delta}^d, \mathbf{u}_{2i'+\delta}^d \rangle = \mathbf{u}_{2i+\delta}^d (\mathbf{u}_{2i'+\delta}^d)^T, \quad 2i + \delta, 2i' + \delta \geq \kappa.$$

We wish to show for $d > d_0$ that (43) continues to hold in the higher weight. We will show this for the base case $\mathbf{u}_{2i+\delta}^d = \mathbf{u}_{2i'+\delta}^d = \mathbf{u}_{\min}^d$ during the course of the inductive argument described above, and then give a more general argument for the remaining cases. By symmetry, it suffices to assume $i \geq i'$, since for $i' \geq i + 1$ all of the relevant adjoint operators will respect the spaces $\mathcal{V}^{d+a}$.

Remarks.

- (1) By construction $\dim \mathcal{V}^{d_0} = 1$, so the assumption that (43) hold for $d = d_0$ can be reduced to just

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_{\min}^{d_0}, \mathbf{u}_{\min}^{d_0} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \kappa = \delta = 0, \varepsilon = +1, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (2) The projection assumption $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, \text{proj}_{\mathcal{V}^d} v \rangle$ is necessary since the $Y_{-\bar{\mu}}^a$ operators do not respect the spaces $\mathcal{V}^d$, even though the Y_μ^a do. In practice, this assumption is met since the irksome rows of the incomplete Whittaker function $W^d(g, -\bar{\mu}, \psi)$ on the right-hand side of our inner product on Maass forms will be zero.

With respect to these forms, the adjoints of the operators $R_{\mu,j}^{d,a}$ act on the particular vectors $\mathbf{u}_j^{d+a}$ as

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\hat{R}_{\mu,j}^{d,1} \mathbf{u}_j^{d+1}} = & \\ & - 8\sqrt{3(d+2-j)(d-1+j)(d+j)(d+1+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 + j)\mathbf{u}_{j-2}^d \\ & - 8j\sqrt{3(d+1-j)(d+1+j)}((d-j-2)(j+1+3\mu_3) \\ & \quad - 2(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + 1)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 + 1) + 4(j+1))\mathbf{u}_j^d \\ & + 8(j+1)\sqrt{3(d-1-j)(d-j)(d+1-j)(d+2+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 - j)\mathbf{u}_{j+2}^d, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(45) \quad \widehat{R}_{\mu,j}^{d,2} \mathbf{u}_j^{d+2} = & \\
& -4\sqrt{6(d-1+j)(d+j)(d+1+j)(d+2+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 + j)\mathbf{u}_{j-2}^d \\
& -4\sqrt{6(d+1-j)(d+2-j)(d+1+j)(d+2+j)}((2d-j)(d+2-3\mu_3) \\
& \quad + 2(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + 1)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 + 1) - j(d+1-j))\mathbf{u}_j^d \\
& -4(1+j)\sqrt{6(d-1-j)(d-j)(d+1-j)(d+2-j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 - j)\mathbf{u}_{j+2}^d
\end{aligned}$$

Note the coefficient on $\mathbf{u}_j^d$ matches those on $\mathbf{u}_j^{d+a}$ in (40) and (41). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(46) \quad & j\sqrt{(d+1-j)(d+1+j)}((d-j-2)(j+1+3\mu_3) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + 1)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 + 1) \\
& \quad + 4(j+1))(\langle \mathbf{u}_j^{d+1}, \mathbf{u}_j^{d+1} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u}_j^d, \mathbf{u}_j^d \rangle), \\
& = \sqrt{(d+2-j)(d+j)(d-1+j)(d+1+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 + j)\langle \mathbf{u}_j^d, \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^d \rangle \\
& \quad + j\sqrt{(d+2-j)(d+j)(d+1-j)(d+3-j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j)\langle \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d+1}, \mathbf{u}_j^{d+1} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(47) \quad & \sqrt{(d+1-j)(d+2-j)(d+1+j)(d+2+j)}((2d-j)(d+2-3\mu_3) \\
& \quad + 2(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + 1)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 + 1) - j(d+1-j))(\langle \mathbf{u}_j^{d+2}, \mathbf{u}_j^{d+2} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u}_j^d, \mathbf{u}_j^d \rangle) \\
& = \sqrt{(d-1+j)(d+j)(d+1+j)(d+2+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 + j)\langle \mathbf{u}_j^d, \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^d \rangle \\
& \quad - j\sqrt{(d+1-j)(d+2-j)(d+3-j)(d+4-j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - j)\langle \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{d+2}, \mathbf{u}_j^{d+2} \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\langle \mathbf{u}_j^d, \mathbf{u}_{j+2}^d \rangle = 0$ by the induction assumption.

The induction argument on d proceeds by cases. The cases are

Case	Conditions	Step
Ia	$\kappa > 1$	$d_0 \rightarrow d_0 + 1$
Ib	$\kappa > 1$	$d \rightarrow d + 2$
IIa	$\kappa = \delta = 0, \varepsilon = +1$	$0 \rightarrow 2$
IIb	$\kappa = \delta = 0, \varepsilon = +1$	$2 \rightarrow 3$
IIc	$\kappa = \delta = 0, \varepsilon = +1$	$d \rightarrow d + 2$
IIIa	$\max\{\kappa, \delta\} = 1, \varepsilon = (-1)^d$	$d \rightarrow d + 1$
IIIb	$\max\{\kappa, \delta\} = 1, \varepsilon = -(-1)^d$	$d \rightarrow d + 1$

We prove case IIIa, the others are similar: At $j = 1$, (40) becomes

$$R_{\mu,1}^{d,1} \mathbf{u}_1^d = 16\sqrt{3d(d+2)}(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + d)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 - 1)\mathbf{u}_1^{d+1}.$$

For the base case of the orthonormality condition (43), we apply (46) with $j = 1$ and $\mathbf{u}_{-1}^{d+a} = (-1)^a \mathbf{u}_1^{d+a}$ (by assumption) and put everything on the same side, giving

$$2\sqrt{d(d+2)}(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + d)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 - 1)(\langle \mathbf{u}_1^{d+1}, \mathbf{u}_1^{d+1} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u}_1^d, \mathbf{u}_1^d \rangle) = 0.$$

In both equations, we know $(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + d)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 - 1) \neq 0$, and this completes the induction step in this case.

Now we show (43) in case $j := 2i + \delta \geq \max\{3, \kappa + 2\}$. We proceed by induction first on d , then on i . First assume $j > 2i' + \delta =: j'$, then by (29) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{(d+2-j)(d-1+j)(d+j)(d+1+j)(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 + j)} \langle \mathbf{u}_j^{d+1}, \mathbf{u}_{j'}^{d+1} \rangle \\
& - \sqrt{(d+3-j)(d+4-j)(d+5-j)(d+j-2)(\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 3 - j)} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j-4=j'} \\
& - 2(j-2) \sqrt{(d+3-j)(d-1+j)} (3\mu_3 - d - 1) \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j-2=j'} \\
& = \sqrt{2d(d+1)(d+2)(2d+1)} \langle Y_\mu^1 \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^d, \mathbf{u}_{j'}^{d+1} \rangle \\
& = \sqrt{2d(d+1)(d+2)(2d+3)} \langle \mathbf{u}_{j-2}^d, Y_{-\bar{\mu}}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{j'}^{d+1} \rangle \\
& = -\sqrt{(d+2-j')(d-1+j')(d+j')(d+1+j')(-\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1 - j')} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j-2=j'-2} \\
& + 2j' \sqrt{(d+1-j')(d+1+j')} (-3\mu_3 + d + 1) \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j-2=j'} \\
& + \sqrt{(d-1-j')(d-j')(d+1-j')(d+2+j')(-\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1 + j')} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j-2=j'+2},
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_j^{d+1}, \mathbf{u}_{j'}^{d+1} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j=j'}.$$

Now having shown the case $j = j' + 2$ (and by symmetry $j = j' - 2$), the proof applies verbatim at $j = j'$.

If $j \in \{0, 1, \kappa\}$, then necessarily $j = j' = j_{\min}$, and this was done above. Note that $j = \kappa + 1$ implies $\kappa = 0$. The remaining case is $j = 2$, $j' \in \{0, 2\}$, with $\kappa = 0$, $\varepsilon = (-1)^d$ and $d \geq d_0 + 2$, and this has a proof identical to the previous using (31).

8. GOING DOWN

We determine the spectral parameters of all forms which are killed by both Y^{-1} , Y^{-2} . Equivalently, we determine vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{2d+1}$ which are killed by both of Y_μ^{-1} , Y_μ^{-2} . Again, we may safely assume $-\bar{\mu}$ is a permutation of μ , and further, because of the absence of poles in the required functional equations of the Whittaker function, we may assume $\operatorname{Re}(\mu_1) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\mu_2) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\mu_3)$, so

$$(48) \quad \mu = (it_1, it_2, -i(t_1 + t_2)) \quad \text{or} \quad \mu = (x + it, -2it, -x + it),$$

where $t_1 - t_2, 2t_1 + t_2, t_1 + 2t_2 \neq 0$, $x \geq 0$, and possibly $t = 0$.

8.1. For the power function. Define

$$(49) \quad g_1 = -\sqrt{6}(1 + \mu_3) \mathbf{u}_2^{2,+} + (\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1) \mathbf{u}_0^{2,+},$$

$$(50) \quad g_2^{d,\delta,\varepsilon} = \sum_{0 \leq 2j+\delta \leq d} g_{2,2j+\delta}^d \mathbf{u}_{2j+\delta}^{d,\varepsilon},$$

where the coefficients are given by $g_{2,0}^d = \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$g_{2,2j+\delta}^d = (-1)^j \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{\sqrt{(d-1-2i-\delta)(d-2i-\delta)(3\mu_3 + 2d - 1 + 2i + \delta)}}{\sqrt{(d+1+2i+\delta)(d+2+2i+\delta)(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 - 2i - \delta)}},$$

for $0 < 2j + \delta \leq d$. We also define $g_3^{d,\delta,\varepsilon}$ using the same coefficients as $g_2^{d,\delta,\varepsilon}$, but take

$$\frac{g_{2,d-2}^d}{\sqrt{d(2d-1)}}$$

to be the coefficient of $\mathbf{u}_d^{d,\varepsilon}$, instead. Lastly, for d odd, define

$$(51) \quad g_4^{d,\varepsilon} = \sum_{\kappa \leq 2j + \kappa \leq d} g_{4,j}^d \mathbf{u}_{2j+\kappa}^{d,\varepsilon},$$

where $\kappa = \frac{d+1}{2}$, and the coefficients are given by

$$g_{4,j}^d = (-1)^j \prod_{i=0}^j \frac{\sqrt{(d-1-2i-\kappa)(d-2i-\kappa)}(3\mu_3 + 2d-1+2i+\kappa)}{\sqrt{(d+1+2i+\kappa)(d+2+2i+\kappa)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 - 2i - \kappa)}.$$

Proposition 8. *If $f \in \mathbb{C}^{2d+1}$ is such that*

$$(52) \quad Y_\mu^{-1}f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_\mu^{-2}f = 0,$$

subject to (48), then at least one of the following is true:

- (1) $f = 0$,
- (2) $d \in \{0, 1\}$,
- (3) $d = 2$ and f is a multiple of g_1 ,
- (4) $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it\right)$, with either d even or $t \neq 0$, and f is a linear combination of $g_2^{d,0,\varepsilon}$ and $g_2^{d,1,-\varepsilon}$, with $\varepsilon = (-1)^d$,
- (5) $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2}, 0, -\frac{d-1}{2}\right)$, d odd, and f is a linear combination of $g_2^{d,\delta,\varepsilon}$, $g_4^{d,+}$ and $g_4^{d,-}$, with $\delta \equiv \kappa + 1 \pmod{2}$, $\varepsilon = (-1)^\kappa$, $\kappa = \frac{d+1}{2}$,
- (6) $\mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d)$, and f is a linear combination of $g_3^{d,1,\varepsilon}$, $g_3^{d,0,\varepsilon}$, $\mathbf{u}_d^{d,+}$ and $\mathbf{u}_d^{d,-}$, with $\varepsilon = (-1)^d$.

We call such f *power-function minimal* for μ .

We note that g_1 is a false positive in the sense that it is not truly minimal under the lowering operators unless some $\mu_i - \mu_j = 1$, which reduces to cases (4) or (6). This is because it is not in general an eigenfunction of Y_μ^0 , so we may descend to either $d = 1$ or $d = 0$ by first applying Y_μ^0 and then Y_μ^{-1} or Y_μ^{-2} .

Proof of Proposition 8. The result for $d \leq 4$ can be verified computationally, so we assume $d \geq 5$. For clarity, we write $f^d := f$. We may split f^d according to characters of V ,

$$f^d = \sum_{|m'| \leq d} f_{m'}^d \mathbf{v}_{m'}^d = \sum_{\pm, \delta \in \{0,1\}} f^{d,\delta,\pm},$$

$$f^{d,\delta,\pm} = \sum_{2j+\delta \leq d} (f_{2j+\delta}^d \pm f_{-2j-\delta}^d) \mathbf{u}_{2j+\delta}^{d,\pm} \times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 2j + \delta = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and f^d is a zero of both Y_μ^{-1} and Y_μ^{-2} exactly when all of the $f^{d,\delta,\pm}$ are, so we now fix d , μ and a choice of $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ and parity $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and assume f^d is of the form

$$f^d = \sum_{0 \leq 2j+\delta \leq d} f_{2j+\delta}^d u_{2j+\delta}^{d,\varepsilon},$$

after relabelling the subscripts. Let

$$f^{d-1} = \sqrt{2d(d-1)(d+1)(2d+1)} Y_\mu^{-1} f^d \text{ and } f^{d-2} = 2\sqrt{d(d-1)(2d-1)(2d+1)} Y_\mu^{-2} f^d.$$

From (30) and (32), their coefficients are given by

$$(53) \quad \begin{aligned} f_j^{d-1} = & -\sqrt{(d-1-j)(d+j)(d+1+j)(d+2+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 - j)f_{j+2}^d \\ & + 2j\sqrt{(d-j)(d+j)}(3\mu_3 + d)f_j^d \\ & + \sqrt{(d-j)(d+1-j)(d+2-j)(d-1+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 + j)f_{j-2}^d, \end{aligned}$$

$$(54) \quad \begin{aligned} f_j^{d-2} = & \sqrt{(d-1+j)(d+j)(d+1+j)(d+2+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 - j)f_{j+2}^d \\ & + 2\sqrt{(d-1-j)(d-j)(d-1+j)(d+j)}(3\mu_3 + 2d - 1)f_j^d \\ & + \sqrt{(d-1-j)(d-j)(d+1-j)(d+2-j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 + j)f_{j-2}^d, \end{aligned}$$

for $j \geq 3$. Some care must be taken when dealing with the coefficients f_j^{d-1} , f_j^{d-2} , for $j = 0, 1, 2$, as the previous expressions typically do not apply. When $\varepsilon = -(-1)^d$, we set $f_0^d = 0$.

Two useful linear combinations are

$$(55) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{1,j} := & \frac{1}{2(d-1)\sqrt{d+j}} \left(\sqrt{d-1-j} f_j^{d-1} - \sqrt{d-1+j} f_j^{d-2} \right) \\ = & -\sqrt{(d+1+j)(d+2+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 - j)f_{j+2}^d \\ & - \sqrt{(d-1-j)(d-j)}(3\mu_3 + 2d - 1 + j)f_j^d, \end{aligned}$$

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{2,j} := & \frac{1}{2(d-1)\sqrt{d-j}} \left(\sqrt{d-1+j} f_j^{d-1} + \sqrt{d-1-j} f_j^{d-2} \right) \\ = & \sqrt{(d-1+j)(d+j)}(3\mu_3 + 2d - 1 - j)f_j^d \\ & + \sqrt{(d+1-j)(d+2-j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 + j)f_{j-2}^d, \end{aligned}$$

for $3 \leq j \leq d-2$. The given expression (55) for $s_{1,j}$ continues to hold for $j = 1, 2$, and one can compute expressions for $s_{2,j}$, $j = 1, 2$, but for $j = 0$, it is simplest to use f_0^{d-1} and f_0^{d-2} directly. Note that $s_{1,j} = s_{2,j} = 0$ is fully equivalent to $f_j^{d-1} = f_j^{d-2} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d-2$. The proof now proceeds by cases on μ .

Case I: $\mu_1 - \mu_3 + 1 \neq d$, $\mu_2 - \mu_3 + 1 \neq d$

These assumptions imply $\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 \neq d$, as well.

For $1 \leq j \leq d-4$, we further cancel to obtain

$$(57) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{3,j} := & (3\mu_3 + 2d - 3 - j)s_{1,j} + (\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 - j)s_{2,j+2} \\ = & -4\sqrt{(d-j)(d-1-j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + 1 - d)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 + 1 - d)f_j^d. \end{aligned}$$

Then $s_{3,j} = 0$ is equivalent to $f_j^d = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d-4$, and similarly on $3 \leq j \leq d-2$ by taking

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{4,j} &:= (3\mu_3 + 2d - 3 - j)s_{1,j-2} + (\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 - j)s_{2,j} \\ &= 4\sqrt{(d+j)(d-1+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_3 + 1 - d)(\mu_2 - \mu_3 + 1 - d)f_j^d. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $f^{d-1} = 0$ and $f^{d-2} = 0$. Then (57), (58) and $s_{2,1} = 0$ imply $f_j^d = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq d-2$. In case $\varepsilon = +(-1)^d$ and $\delta = 0$, then $s_{2,2} = 0$ implies $f_0^d = 0$ since $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq -1$.

If $d \equiv \delta \pmod{2}$, then $s_{1,d-2} = 0$ implies $f_d^d = 0$ and we have $f^d = 0$. If $d \not\equiv \delta \pmod{2}$, then $f_{d-3}^{d-2} = f_{d-1}^{d-1} = 0$ implies $f_{d-1}^d = 0$ and we have $f^d = 0$.

Case II: $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it\right)$ with $t \neq 0$ or d even

We note that

$$(59) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f^{d-1} = 0 \\ f^{d-2} = 0 \end{array} \right\} \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_{d-1}^{d-1} = f_0^{d-1} = f_0^{d-2} = 0, \\ s_{1,j} = 0, j = 1, \dots, d-2, \\ s_{2,1} = s_{2,2} = 0, \\ s_{3,1} = s_{3,2} = 0, \\ s_{3,j} = s_{4,j} = 0, j = 3, \dots, d-4, \end{array} \right.$$

when $\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 \notin \{1, 2\}$. Of course, under the current assumptions, $\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 \notin \{1, 2\}$ and all $s_{3,j} = 0, s_{4,j} = 0$. When $\delta = 0$, $s_{2,1} = 0$ becomes trivial, and similarly, when $\delta = 1$, $s_{2,2} = f_0^{d-1} = f_0^{d-2} = 0$ becomes trivial.

Since $\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $s_{1,j} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(60) \quad f_{j+2}^d = -\frac{\sqrt{(d-1-j)(d-j)}(3\mu_3 + 2d - 1 + j)}{\sqrt{(d+1+j)(d+2+j)}(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 - j)}f_j^d,$$

If $\delta = 0$ and $\varepsilon = +(-1)^d$, then $s_{2,2} = 0$ is redundant over $f_0^{d-2} = 0$. If $\delta = 0$ and $\varepsilon = -(-1)^d$, then $f_0^{d-1} = 0$ implies $f_2^d = 0$ and hence $f^d = 0$. If $\delta = 1$ and $\varepsilon = +(-1)^d$, then $s_{2,1} = 0$ implies $f_2^d = 0$ and hence $f^d = 0$. If $\delta = 1$ and $\varepsilon = -(-1)^d$, then $s_{2,1} = 0$ is trivial.

This completes the analysis of conclusion (4) of the proposition. The need for $g_{2,0}^{d,\delta,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2}$ comes from the spare 2 in f_0^{d-2} , as compared to $s_{1,j}$, $j \geq 1$.

Case III: $\mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d)$

Since (59) still holds, and $\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 = -(3\mu_3 + 2d - 1) = d-2$, this is identical to case II, except that $s_{1,d-2} = 0$ is trivial, and now when $\delta = 1$, $s_{2,1} = 0$ implies $f_1^d = 0$ unless $\varepsilon = +(-1)^d$. The coefficient $g_{2,d}^d$ is undefined, but we may freely choose the coefficient of $\mathbf{u}_d^{d,\varepsilon}$ since $Y_\mu^{-1}\mathbf{u}_d^{d,\varepsilon} = 0$ and $Y_\mu^{-2}\mathbf{u}_d^{d,\varepsilon} = 0$. Our particular choice makes $g_3^{d,\delta,\varepsilon}$ an eigenfunction of Y_μ^0 , as we will see later. This completes the analysis of conclusion (6) of the proposition.

Case IV: $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2}, 0, -\frac{d-1}{2}\right)$, d odd

Since (59) still holds, and $\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1 = \kappa - 2$, the case $\delta \not\equiv \kappa \pmod{2}$ is identical to case II. In case $\delta \equiv \kappa \pmod{2}$, $s_{1,\kappa-2} = 0$ implies $f_{\kappa-2}^d = 0$, and recursively $s_{1,j} = 0$ implies $f_j^d = 0$

for $1 \leq j < \kappa$ and $s_{2,2} = 0$ implies $f_0^d = 0$. Then (60) applies for $j \geq \kappa$, and this completes the analysis of conclusion (5) of the proposition. $\square$

8.2. For Whittaker functions. We wish to prove a version of Proposition 8 for Whittaker functions, but this is somewhat complicated by the possibility that the Whittaker function itself may be zero, and the unpleasant shape of the vectors in Proposition 8, so we start by a careful examination of the intertwining operators.

Proposition 9. *Suppose $d \geq 2$, and let C be a non-zero constant whose value may differ between occurrences.*

(1) *If $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it\right)$, with either d even or $t \neq 0$, then*

$$g_2^{d,0,\varepsilon} = C \mathbf{u}_d^{d,\varepsilon} T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}), \quad g_2^{d,1,-\varepsilon} = C \mathbf{u}_d^{d,-\varepsilon} T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}),$$

with $\varepsilon = (-1)^d$.

(2) *If $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2}, 0, -\frac{d-1}{2}\right)$ with $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then*

$$g_2^{d,0,-} = C \mathbf{u}_d^{d,-} T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}), \quad g_4^{d,+} = C \mathbf{u}_d^{d,+} T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}), \quad g_4^{d,-} = C \mathbf{u}_d^{d,+} T^d(w_4, \mu^{w_5}),$$

(3) *If $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2}, 0, -\frac{d-1}{2}\right)$ with $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then*

$$g_2^{d,1,+} = C \mathbf{u}_d^{d,+} T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}), \quad g_4^{d,-} = C \mathbf{u}_d^{d,-} T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}), \quad g_4^{d,+} = C \mathbf{u}_{d-1}^{d,+} T^d(w_4, \mu^{w_5}),$$

(4) *If $\mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d)$, then*

$$g_3^{d,1,\varepsilon} = C \mathbf{u}_{d-1}^{d,\varepsilon} T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}), \quad g_3^{d,0,\varepsilon} = C \mathbf{u}_d^{d,\varepsilon} T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}),$$

with $\varepsilon = (-1)^d$.

Note: We have suppressed the constants for purely aesthetic reasons, their values may be extracted from the computations below.

Proof of Proposition 9. We wish to compute

$$\mathbf{u}_d^{d,\pm} T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}), \quad \mathbf{u}_{d-1}^{d,\pm} T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}), \quad \mathbf{u}_d^{d,+} T^d(w_4, \mu^{w_5}), \quad \mathbf{u}_{d-1}^{d,-} T^d(w_4, \mu^{w_5})$$

in general. Note that

$$T^d(w_4, \mu^{w_5}) = T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_5}) T^d(w_2, \mu^{w_2}),$$

and $T^d(w_2, \mu^{w_2})$ is diagonal and satisfies a symmetry relation under $(m, m) \mapsto (-m, -m)$, so it suffices to compute

$$\mathbf{u}_d^{d,\pm} \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u, +1) \mathcal{D}^d(w_l), \quad \mathbf{u}_{d-1}^{d,\pm} \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u, +1) \mathcal{D}^d(w_l).$$

There is a coincidence of form among the matrices in the functional equations of the Whittaker functions, the constant terms of the Eisenstein series and the Whittaker functions at a degenerate character, and we use that here. It is easy to check that

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u, +1) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+u}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right)} (\Sigma_{++}^d + \Sigma_{+-}^d) + i \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{u}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-u}{2}\right)} (\Sigma_{--}^d + \Sigma_{-+}^d) \right) \mathcal{R}^d(-i) \mathcal{W}^d(0, -u).$$

So to compute

$$\mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u, +1) \mathcal{D}^d(w_l),$$

it is sufficient to compute

$$F^d(u) := \mathcal{D}^d(w_3) \mathcal{R}^d(-i) \mathcal{W}^d(0, -u) \mathcal{D}^d(w_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+x^2)^{\frac{-1+u}{2}} \mathcal{D}^d\left(\tilde{k}\left(1, \frac{x+i}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}, 1\right)\right) dx,$$

which has components

$$F_{m',m}^d(u) := \int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{-1-\frac{u}{2}} d_{m',m}^d(x) dx,$$

We may deduce from the symmetries (24) that

$$(61) \quad F_{\pm d,m}^d(u) = (\mp 1)^{d+m} F_{\pm m,d}^d(u), \quad F_{\pm(d-1),m}^d(u) = (\mp 1)^{d-1+m} F_{\pm m,d-1}^d(u).$$

For the moment, we assume $-\operatorname{Re}(u)$ is large. From (23), (25), and [12, 3.196.3], we have

$$F_{m',d}^d(u) = 2^{-d} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(2d)!}{(d+m')!(d-m')!}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-m'-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+m'-u}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1-u}{2}\right)}.$$

When $m = d-1$, (25) becomes $P_1^{(d-1-m', d-1+m')}(x) = dx - m'$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_{m',d-1}^d(u)}{2^{1-d} \sqrt{\frac{(2d-1)!}{(d+m')!(d-m')!}}} &= d \int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^{-1+\frac{d-1-m'-u}{2}} (1+x)^{-1+\frac{d+1+m'-u}{2}} dx \\ &\quad - d \int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^{-1+\frac{d-1-m'-u}{2}} (1+x)^{-1+\frac{d-1+m'-u}{2}} dx \\ &\quad - m' \int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^{-1+\frac{d-1-m'-u}{2}} (1+x)^{-1+\frac{d-1+m'-u}{2}} dx \\ &= -m' \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1-m'-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1+m'-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-u}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{u+1}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon = (-1)^d$, then

$$\mathbf{u}_d^{d,\pm} \mathcal{D}^d(w_2) = \pm i^{-d} \mathbf{u}_d^{d,\pm\varepsilon}, \quad \mathbf{u}_{d-1}^{d,\pm} \mathcal{D}^d(w_2) = \pm i^{1-d} \mathbf{u}_{d-1}^{d,\mp\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u, +1) \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) &= \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+u}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right)} (\Sigma_{++}^d + \Sigma_{-+}^d) + i \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{u}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-u}{2}\right)} (\Sigma_{--}^d + \Sigma_{+-}^d) \right) \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{D}^d(w_2) (\mathcal{D}^d(w_3) \mathcal{R}^d(-i) \mathcal{W}^d(0, -u) \mathcal{D}^d(w_3)) \mathcal{D}^d(w_2), \end{aligned}$$

so if $\pm 1 = (-1)^{d+\delta} = (-1)^\eta$, $\delta, \eta \in \{0, 1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (62) \quad \mathbf{u}_d^{d,\pm} \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u, +1) \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) &= (-1)^d i^{\eta-d} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\eta+u}{2}\right)}{2^{d-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\eta-u}{2}\right)} \sum_{0 \leq m \equiv \delta} c_m \mathbf{u}_m^{d,\pm} i^{-m} \sqrt{\frac{(2d)!}{(d+m)!(d-m)!}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-m-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+m-u}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1-u}{2}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(63) \quad & \mathbf{u}_{d-1}^{d,\pm} \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u, +1) \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \\
&= -(-1)^d \frac{i^{\eta+1-d} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\eta+u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-u}{2}\right)}{2^{d-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\eta-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{u+1}{2}\right)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{0 \leq m \equiv 1-\delta} c_m \mathbf{u}_m^{d,\pm} i^{-m} m \sqrt{\frac{(2d-1)!}{(d+m)!(d-m)!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1-m-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1+m-u}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-u}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1-u}{2}\right)}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $c_m = 1, m \neq 0$. The sign parity $\mathbf{u}_m^{d,\pm}$ is determined by $(-1)^m = \pm(-1)^d$ in the first case, and $-(-1)^m = \pm(-1)^d$ in the second.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
(64) \quad & \mathbf{u}_d^{d,\pm} \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u_1, +1) \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u_2, +1) \\
&= (-1)^d \frac{i^{\eta-d} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\eta+u_1}{2}\right)}{2^{d-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\eta-u_1}{2}\right)} \sum_{0 \leq m \equiv \delta} c_m \mathbf{u}_m^{d,\varepsilon} i^{-m} m \sqrt{\frac{(2d)!}{(d+m)!(d-m)!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-m-u_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+m-u_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-m+u_2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-u_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1-u_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-m-u_2}{2}\right)}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(65) \quad & \mathbf{u}_{d-1}^{d,\pm} \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u_1, +1) \mathcal{D}^d(w_l) \Gamma_{\mathcal{W}}^d(u_2, +1) \\
&= -(-1)^d \frac{i^{\eta+1-d} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\eta+u_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-u_1}{2}\right)}{2^{d-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\eta-u_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{u_1+1}{2}\right)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{0 \leq m \equiv 1-\delta} c_m \mathbf{u}_m^{d,-\varepsilon} i^{-m} m \sqrt{\frac{(2d-1)!}{(d+m)!(d-m)!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1-m-u_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1+m-u_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-m+u_2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-u_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1-u_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-m-u_2}{2}\right)}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon = (-1)^d$. The sign parity $\mathbf{u}_m^{d,\varepsilon}$ is determined by $1 = \varepsilon(-1)^d$ in the first case, and $-1 = \varepsilon(-1)^d$ in the second.

One can check that the parities $\pm$ and δ match between the preceeding formulas and the claims of the theorem. Note that when $u = \mu_2 - \mu_3 = \frac{d-1}{2}$ is an integer with $u \equiv \eta \equiv d - \delta \pmod{2}$, then the coefficients of $\mathbf{u}_m^{d,\pm}$ are zero unless $d - m - u \leq 0$ in the first formula or $d - 1 - m - u \leq 0$ in the second by the poles of the gamma functions; here we are not directly evaluating the gamma functions at a value of u , but taking the value of the whole meromorphic function at u . One may compute the ratio of successive coefficients in (62)-(65), for instance

$$\frac{i^{-(m+2)} F_{m+2,d}^d(u)}{i^{-m} F_{m,d}^d(u)} = - \sqrt{\frac{(d-m)(d-1-m)}{(d+2+m)(d+1+m)}} \frac{(d-u+m)}{(d-2-u-m)}$$

(except when $m = 0$); the proposition then follows by applying the explicit form of μ in each case with $u = \mu_2 - \mu_3$, $u_1 = \mu_1 - \mu_3$, $u_2 = \mu_1 - \mu_2$. $\square$

We must clarify precisely when the Whittaker function is identically zero, and we begin with the minimal K -types, as described in Proposition 8. The following proposition follows from Theorem 6 and the results of Sections 6.4 and 6.6:

Proposition 10. *The Whittaker function $fW^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1})$ is not zero for the following choices of d , μ and non-zero f :*

- (1) $d = 0$.
- (2) $d = 1$ and all μ_i are distinct.
- (3) $d \geq 1$ and $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it\right)$ with $f = \mathbf{v}_{-d}^d$.
- (4) $d \geq 1$ and $\mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d)$ with f a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_{-d}^d$ and $\mathbf{v}_{-d}^d \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--} w_l)$.

To show the above list is essentially complete, we need to prove:

Proposition 11. *Suppose f is power-function minimal for μ and that $fW^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1})$ is identically zero.*

- (1) If $d = 0$, then $f = 0$.
- (2) If $d = 1$ and all μ_i are distinct, then $f = 0$.
- (3) If $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it\right)$ with $d \geq 1$, $t \neq 0$ then f is a multiple of $\mathbf{v}_d^d T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3})$.
- (4) If $\mu = \left(\frac{d-1}{2}, 0, -\frac{d-1}{2}\right)$ with d odd, then f is a linear combination of $g_4^{d,+} + g_4^{d,-}$ and $(g_4^{d,+} + g_4^{d,-}) \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--} w_l)$.
- (5) If $\mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d)$ and $d \geq 1$, then f is a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_d^d$ and $\mathbf{v}_d^d \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--} w_l)$.

Note that in case $d = 1$, $g_4^\pm = \mathbf{u}_1^{1,\pm}$, so there is no inconsistency between (4) and (5) in that case.

Proof of Proposition 11. We rely heavily on the results of Sections 6.4 and 6.6.

In case (2), we note that the eigenvalues under Y^0 are as follows:

$$(66) \quad Y_\mu^0 \mathbf{u}_0^{1,-} = -2\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \mu_3 \mathbf{u}_0^{1,-}, \quad Y_\mu^0 \mathbf{u}_1^{1,-} = -2\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \mu_2 \mathbf{u}_1^{1,-}, \quad Y_\mu^0 \mathbf{u}_1^{1,+} = -2\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \mu_1 \mathbf{u}_1^{1,+},$$

and we may see directly from Theorem 6 that each of the associated Whittaker functions is non-zero.

In case (3), we note that

$$\mathbf{v}_{-d}^d T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}) W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) \neq 0, \quad \mathbf{v}_d^d T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}) W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0,$$

and those two vectors are a basis of the required space.

In case (4), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{-d}^d T^d(w_3, \mu^{w_3}) W^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) &\neq 0, \\ (g_4^{d,+} + g_4^{d,-}) W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows because the vector $g_4^{d,+} + g_4^{d,-}$ is supported on $\mathbf{v}_m^d$ with

$$m \equiv \kappa \equiv 1 + \mu_1 - \mu_2 \pmod{2}, \quad m \geq \kappa = 1 + \mu_1 + \mu_2,$$

again the gamma function in (I.17) is zero there. Then by duality,

$$(g_4^{d,+} + g_4^{d,-}) \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--} w_l) W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = (g_4^{d,+} + g_4^{d,-}) W^d(v_{--} g^t w_l, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0.$$

Again, these are three linearly independent vectors (different parities/characters) in a three dimensional space.

In case (5), we have

$$\mathfrak{v}_{-d}^d W^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) \neq 0, \quad \mathfrak{v}_d^d W^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0,$$

and by duality

$$\mathfrak{v}_{-d}^d \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--} w_l) W^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) \neq 0, \quad \mathfrak{v}_d^d \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--} w_l) W^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0.$$

□

Proposition 12. *If $f \in \mathbb{C}^{2d+1}$ is such that*

$$(67) \quad f W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) \neq 0, \quad Y^{-1} f W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0, \quad Y^{-2} f W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0,$$

subject to (48), then at least one of the conditions (1)-(6) of Proposition 8 is true.

Proof. For any d , define the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} V_\delta^d &= \text{span} \{ \mathfrak{v}_j^d \mid j \equiv \delta \pmod{2} \}, \\ V_{\delta, \kappa}^d &= \text{span} \{ \mathfrak{v}_j^d \mid |j| \geq \kappa, j \equiv \delta \pmod{2} \}, \\ V_{\delta, \kappa, \pm}^d &= \text{span} \{ \mathfrak{v}_j^d \mid \pm j \geq \kappa, j \equiv \delta \pmod{2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately from the argument of Proposition 8 that for f which is not already power-function minimal,

$$(68) \quad f \in V_\kappa^d, \quad Y_\mu^{-1} f \in V_{\kappa, \kappa}^{d-1}, \quad Y_\mu^{-2} f \in V_{\kappa, \kappa}^{d-2} \Rightarrow f \in V_{\kappa, \kappa}^d,$$

and noticing that the Y_μ^a operators act on $\mathfrak{v}_j^d$ precisely the same as on $\mathfrak{u}_j^{d, \pm}$ for $j \geq 3$, we also have

$$(69) \quad f \in V_\kappa^d, \quad Y_\mu^{-1} f \in V_{\kappa, \kappa, \pm}^{d-1}, \quad Y_\mu^{-2} f \in V_{\kappa, \kappa, \pm}^{d-2} \Rightarrow f \in V_{\kappa, \kappa, \pm}^d.$$

The proposition is trivial when none of the differences $\mu_i - \mu_j, i \neq j$ are integers, and the case $\mu = (\frac{\kappa-1}{2} + it, -2it, -\frac{\kappa-1}{2} + it)$ is also relatively simple, after applying the w_3 functional equation of the Whittaker functions (as we may). So suppose $\mu = (\kappa - 1, 0, 1 - \kappa)$. We know that $\mathfrak{v}_\kappa^\kappa W^\kappa(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0$, and the argument of Section 7 applied to $\mathfrak{v}_\kappa^\kappa$ (in place of $\mathfrak{u}_\kappa^{\kappa, \pm}$) implies that $v W^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0$ for all $v \in V_{\kappa, \kappa, +}^d$ for all d . Similarly, since $V_{\kappa, \kappa, -}^d$ is closed under the Y_μ^a operators (because $\mu_1 - \mu_2 + 1 - \kappa = 0$ in equations (28)-(32)), and

$$\mathfrak{v}_{-\kappa}^\kappa W^\kappa(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) \neq 0, \quad (g_4^{2\kappa-1, +} - g_4^{2\kappa-1, -}) W^{2\kappa-1}(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) \neq 0,$$

Proposition 8 implies $v W^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) \neq 0$ for all $v \in V_{\kappa, \kappa}^d, v \notin V_{\kappa, \kappa, +}^d$ for all d . Even stronger, by (68), Proposition 8, and Proposition 11 at $d = 0, 1$, we know $v W^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) \neq 0$ for all $v \in V_\kappa^d, v \notin V_{\kappa, \kappa, +}^d$ for all d .

Suppose

$$Y^{-1} f W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0, \quad Y^{-2} f W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0,$$

and f is not power-function minimal. Set $\varepsilon = (-1)^\kappa$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, $\delta \equiv \kappa \pmod{2}$. Since the zero function cannot descend to a non-zero Whittaker function, f must descend, via Y_μ^{-1} and Y_μ^{-2} to a non-zero linear combination of either

$$g_4^{2\kappa-1,+} + g_4^{2\kappa-1,-} \text{ and } (g_4^{2\kappa-1,+} + g_4^{2\kappa-1,-})\mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l),$$

or $\mathbf{v}_\kappa^\kappa$ and $\mathbf{v}_\kappa^\kappa \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l)$.

Set

$$h = \sum_{\substack{j \geq \kappa \\ j \equiv \kappa \pmod{2}}} \frac{f_j + (-1)^{d-\kappa} f_{-j}}{2} \mathbf{v}_j^d \in V_{\kappa,\kappa,+}^d,$$

then $hW^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1})$ is zero. Subtracting h removes the projection onto $\chi_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}$, i.e. $(f-h)\Sigma_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}^d = 0$, and so by the above arguments, $f-h$ must descend to a multiple of either

$$(g_4^{2\kappa-1,+} + g_4^{2\kappa-1,-})\mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l) \text{ or } \mathbf{v}_\kappa^\kappa \mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l).$$

Now we switch to the dual Whittaker function (note $-\mu^{w_l} = \mu$): Set $\tilde{f} = (f-h)\mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l)$, then $\tilde{f}$ must descend to a multiple of either $g_4^{2\kappa-1,+} + g_4^{2\kappa-1,-} \in V_{\kappa,\kappa,+}^{2\kappa-1}$ or $\mathbf{v}_\kappa^\kappa \in V_{\kappa,\kappa,+}^\kappa$. As before, we set

$$\tilde{h} = \sum_{\substack{j \geq \kappa \\ j \equiv \kappa \pmod{2}}} \frac{\tilde{f}_j - (-1)^{d-\kappa} \tilde{f}_{-j}}{2} \mathbf{v}_j^d \in V_{\kappa,\kappa,+}^d.$$

Now consider the vector $v = f-h-\tilde{h}\mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l)$ which has the projections $v\Sigma_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}^d = v\Sigma_{-\varepsilon,-\varepsilon}^d = 0$. The projection of v onto V_κ^d is not contained in $V_{\kappa,\kappa,+}^d$ unless it is zero, and the same is true for the projection of $v\mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l)$. We still have

$$Y^{-1}vW^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0, \quad Y^{-2}vW^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0,$$

and applying Proposition 8 to v , we conclude $v = 0$ because any non-zero minimal descendant could only meet the conclusions (4)-(6), and we have constructed v so this is impossible. Therefore,

$$fW^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = (h + \tilde{h}\mathcal{D}^d(v_{--}w_l))W^d(g, \mu, \psi_{1,1}) = 0.$$

□

8.3. For cusp forms. We may now prove Theorem 4. If ϕ is orthogonal to the raises of all lower weight forms, then some word L in Y^{-1} and Y^{-2} makes $0 \neq L\phi =: \phi^{d_0}$ minimal in the sense that $Y^{-1}\phi^{d_0} = 0$ and $Y^{-2}\phi^{d_0} = 0$. But if $L \neq 1$, then

$$0 = \langle \hat{L}\phi^{d_0}, \phi \rangle = \langle \phi^{d_0}, L\phi \rangle = \langle \phi^{d_0}, \phi^{d_0} \rangle \neq 0,$$

a contradiction, so ϕ must already be minimal.

The n -th Fourier coefficient of ϕ is of the form $fW^d(\cdot, \mu, \psi_n)$ by Theorem 1.3 (and orthogonality of the entries of $\mathcal{D}^d$), and Proposition 12 gives the allowed values of d , f and μ . By Propositions 10 and 9, we may apply the functional equations of the Whittaker function to arrive at one of the parameter sets described in Proposition 11. A small bit more need be said about the cases $\mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d)$ and $d = 1$.

When $\mu = (d-1, 0, 1-d)$, we have already pointed out that the asymptotics of $W_d^d(g, \mu, \psi_n)$ are not compatible with the boundedness of cusp forms. Another way to see these don't occur is to note that such a cusp form would have a real eigenvalue for the skew-symmetric operator Y^0 .

Now suppose $d = 1$ and μ is arbitrary. The eigenvalues under Y^0 are given in (66); if the components of μ are distinct, these choices of f give three linearly independent Whittaker functions. In case $\mu = (x + it, -2it, -x + it)$, $x \neq 0$, only $\mathbf{u}_1^{1,-}$ gives the Whittaker function of a cusp form since the others are again eigenfunctions of a skew-symmetric operator with eigenvalues that are not purely imaginary. Further, the functional equations of the Whittaker function yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_1^{1,-} T(w_3, \mu^{w_3}) &= C \mathbf{u}_0^{1,-}, \\ \mathbf{u}_1^{1,+} T(w_5, \mu^{w_4}) &= C \mathbf{u}_0^{1,-}. \end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to take $\mathbf{u}_0^{1,-} W^1(y, \mu, \psi_{1,1})$ to be the $d = 1$ Whittaker function with either $\mu = (it_1, it_2, -i(t_1 + t_2))$ or $\mu = (x + it, -x + it, -2it)$.

9. THE STRUCTURE OF THE CUSP FORMS

We have some final calculations to complete the proof of Theorem 5. Consider $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_3$ and $\Phi^d, \tilde{\Phi}^d$ as in Section 2 and $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa, \chi}^d$ as in Section 7. First, we point out that in the proof of Proposition 12, we showed the vector $v\tilde{\Phi}^d(g)$ is zero unless v is in $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa, \chi}^d$, since all of its Whittaker functions are zero. In Section 7, we showed that all such vectors are obtainable by applying suitable combinations of the Y^a operators to Φ itself, and hence they are all true cusp forms by the left-translation invariance of the Y^a operators. So the rows of $\Phi^d(g)$ which do not correspond to cusp forms also do not contribute to the matrix product $\text{Tr} \left(\Phi^d(g) \overline{\tilde{\Phi}^d(g')^T} \right)$.

When $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_3^{d_0*}$, the space $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa, \chi}^{d_0}$ has dimension one, and hence the matrix $\tilde{\Phi}^{d_0}(g)$ has exactly one distinct non-zero row (which may occur twice, due to the symmetry of Σ_χ), call it $\tilde{\Phi}$. If we insist that

$$(70) \quad \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \Phi(g) \overline{\tilde{\Phi}(g)}^T dg = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \kappa = \delta = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then Section 7 implies that the rows of Φ^d and $\tilde{\Phi}^d$ have the desired orthonormality. That is,

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} (v\Phi^d(g)) \overline{(v'\tilde{\Phi}^d(g))}^T dg = v \overline{v'}^T,$$

for v, v' in the appropriate subspace of the rowspace of Σ_χ^d . Note that the rows of $\Phi^d(g)$ which apparently have norm $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ actually occur twice in $\Phi^d(g)$, so there is no discrepancy. Since $-\bar{\mu}$ is either μ or μ^{w_2} and the w_2 functional equation of the Whittaker function acts by a non-zero scalar on the minimal Whittaker function, it is always possible to arrange (70).

The final step is to convert back to scalar-valued forms. We notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2d+1) \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \Phi_{i,j}^d(g) \overline{\tilde{\Phi}_{k,l}^d(g)} dg \\
&= (2d+1) \sum_{m,n} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G/K} \Phi_{i,m}^d(z) \overline{\tilde{\Phi}_{k,n}^d(z)}^T \int_K \mathcal{D}_{m,j}^d(k) \overline{\mathcal{D}_{n,l}^d(k)} dk dz \\
&= \delta_{j=l} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \Phi_i^d(g) \overline{\tilde{\Phi}_k^d(g)}^T dg,
\end{aligned}$$

and this produces the factor $2d+1$ in Theorem 5.

9.1. A harmonic description of the minimal K -types. We have already shown the equivalence of (1) and (2) in Theorem 2 and it remains to prove (3). Since Λ_X necessarily commutes with Δ_1 and Δ_2 , we may assume the cusp forms in question are eigenfunctions of the latter two operators. We know that such forms have spectral parameters of the form $(it_1, it_2, -i(t_1 + t_2))$ or $(x + it, -x + it, -2it)$, up to permutation. In the first case, the Λ_X eigenvalue is computed to be

$$((t_1 - t_2)^2 + 4X^2) ((2t_1 + t_2)^2 + 4X^2) ((t_1 + 2t_2)^2 + 4X^2),$$

and for $X \neq 0$, this is not zero. In the second case, the eigenvalue is

$$4(X - x)(X + x) (9t^2 + (2X - x)^2) (9t^2 + (2X + x)^2),$$

and this is zero exactly when $x = \pm X$ or $(x, t) = (\pm 2X, 0)$. For $d \geq 2$, since we have shown the cusp forms having minimal K -type $\mathcal{D}^d$ are exactly those with spectral parameters of the form

$$\left(\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -\frac{d-1}{2} + it, -2it\right)$$

and there are no cusp forms of K -type $\mathcal{D}^d$ with spectral parameters of the form $(d-1, 1-d, 0)$, this gives the claim.

REFERENCES

- [1] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, vol. 55, For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.
- [2] E.W. Barnes, *A transformation of generalised hypergeometric series*, Quart. J. Pure and Applied Math. **41** (1910), 136–140.
- [3] L. C. Biedenharn and J. D. Louck, *Angular momentum in quantum physics*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 8, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1981. Theory and application, With a foreword by Peter A. Carruthers.
- [4] D. Bump, *Automorphic forms on $GL(3, \mathbf{R})$* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1083, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [5] Jack Buttcane, *The spectral Kuznetsov formula on $SL(3)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 9, 6683–6714.
- [6] ———, *Higher weight on $GL(3)$, I: The Eisenstein series* (arXiv:1603.09287).
- [7] Jack Buttcane and Stephen D. Miller, *Weights, raising and lowering operators, and K -types for automorphic forms on $SL(3, \mathbf{R})$* (in preparation).
- [8] *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller and B. V. Saunders, eds.

- [9] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec, *The subconvexity problem for Artin L -functions*, Invent. Math. **149** (2002), no. 3, 489–577.
- [10] I. M. Gel'fand, M. I. Graev, and I. I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro, *Generalized functions. Vol. 6*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2016. Representation theory and automorphic functions, Translated from the 1966 Russian original by K. A. Hirsch, Reprint of the 1969 English translation.
- [11] D. Goldfeld, *Automorphic forms and L -functions for the group $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$* , Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 99, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. With an appendix by Kevin A. Broughan.
- [12] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, Eighth, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2015. Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Daniel Zwillinger and Victor Moll, Revised from the seventh edition.
- [13] Harish-Chandra, *Automorphic forms on semisimple Lie groups*, Notes by J. G. M. Mars. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 62, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1968.
- [14] Roger Howe, *K -type structure in the principal series of GL_3 . I*, Analysis on homogeneous spaces and representation theory of Lie groups, Okayama–Kyoto (1997), 2000, pp. 77–98.
- [15] Henryk Iwaniec, *Introduction to the spectral theory of automorphic forms*, Biblioteca de la Revista Matemática Iberoamericana. [Library of the Revista Matemática Iberoamericana], Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Madrid, 1995.
- [16] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika, *On Euler products and the classification of automorphic forms. II*, Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), no. 4, 777–815.
- [17] Henry H. Kim, *Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 1, 139–183 (electronic). With appendix 1 by Dinakar Ramakrishnan and appendix 2 by Kim and Peter Sarnak.
- [18] Anthony W. Knap, *Representation theory of semisimple groups*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. An overview based on examples, Reprint of the 1986 original.
- [19] R. P. Langlands, *On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 544, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [20] Hans Maass, *Die Differentialgleichungen in der Theorie der elliptischen Modulfunktionen*, Math. Ann. **125** (1952), 235–263 (1953).
- [21] H. Manabe, T. Ishii, and T. Oda, *Principal series Whittaker functions on $SL(3, R)$* , Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **30** (2004), no. 1, 183–226.
- [22] Stephen D. Miller and Wilfried Schmid, *Adelization of automorphic distributions and mirabolic Eisenstein series*, Representation theory and mathematical physics, 2011, pp. 289–334.
- [23] Tadashi Miyazaki, *The structures of standard $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules of $SL(3, \mathbf{R})$* , Glas. Mat. Ser. III **43(63)** (2008), no. 2, 337–362.
- [24] ———, *Whittaker functions for generalized principal series representations of $SL(3, \mathbf{R})$* , New viewpoints of representation theory and noncommutative harmonic analysis, 2010, pp. 103–110.
- [25] M. Scott Osborne and Garth Warner, *The theory of Eisenstein systems*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 99, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1981.
- [26] A. Selberg, *Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **20** (1956), 47–87.
- [27] J. A. Shalika, *The multiplicity one theorem for GL_n* , Ann. of Math. (2) **100** (1974), 171–193.
- [28] I J Vahutinskii, *Irreducible unitary representations of the group $GL(3, \mathbf{R})$ of real matrices of the third order*, Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik **4** (1968), no. 2, 273.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, 244 MATHEMATICS BUILDING, BUFFALO, NY 14260, USA
E-mail address: buttcane@buffalo.edu